

<u>Summary</u>

Request:	Rezone approximately 12.5 acres of land zoned Future Development (FD) to Character District 2.5 (CD-2.5).			
Applicant:	Vantage at San Marcos 7334 Blanco Road Suite 200 San Antonio, TX 78666	Property Owner:	Mohnke Poor Farm LLC 540 Mission Valley Road New Braunfels, TX 78132	

Notification

Application:	September 23, 2020	Neighborhood Meeting:	N/A	
Published:	September 27, 2020	# of Participants	N/A	
Posted:	September 25, 2020	Personal:	September 25, 2020	
Response:	None as of the date of the	None as of the date of the Staff Report		

Property Description

Legal Description:	for approximately 12.5 acres, more or less, out of the J.F. Geister Survey, No 6 and No 7, Hays County				
Location:	Near the intersection of High	Near the intersection of Highway 123 and Monterey Oak Drive			
Acreage:	12.5 +/- PDD/DA/Other: N/A				
Existing Zoning:	Future Development (FD)	Proposed Zoning:	Character District-2.5 (CD-2.5)		
Existing Use:	Agricultural	Agricultural Proposed Use:			
Existing Occupancy:	Restrictions Do Not Apply	Occupancy:	Restrictions Do Apply		
Preferred Scenario:	Medium Intensity Zone and Low Intensity Zone	Same			
CONA Neighborhood:	N/A	Sector:	N/A		
Utility Capacity:	Adequate	Floodplain:	No		
Historic Designation:	N/A	My Historic SMTX Resources Survey	No		

Surrounding Area	Zoning	Existing Land Use	Preferred Scenario
North of Property:	ETJ	Vacant / Agricultural	Medium / Low Intensity
South of Property:	SF-6	Residential	Medium / Low Intensity
East of Property:	SF-6 / ETJ	Vacant / Residential	Low Intensity Zone
West of Property:	ETJ / P	Commercial / Industrial / High School	Medium Intensity Zone

Staff Recommendation

X Approval as Submitted	Alternate Approval	Denial	
Staff: Will Parrish AICP, CNU-A	Title : Planner	Date: September 30, 2020	



Commission Recommendation

Approval as Submitted

Speakers in favor or opposed

Opposed:

- 1. Lisa Marie Coppoletta
- 2. Barbara Selvage (written comment read aloud by Staff at meeting)

In Favor:

1. Chris Weigand

Recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting held 10/13/2020

Commissioner Agnew made a motion to approve the ZC-20-23, Commissioner Spell seconded the motion. The motion passed by the following vote.

For: 7 Against: 2

Absent: 0

History

This property was annexed at the applicants request on April 7, 2020 and is adjacent to the Cottonwood Creek subdivision and across from the San Marcos High School on Highway 123.

The applicant previously made a request to rezone the property to Character District -3 (CD-3) that was heard by the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council earlier this year.

At their regularly scheduled meeting on 2/25/2020 the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 5 to 3 to recommend approval of the request to City Council.

At their regularly scheduled meeting on 3/14/2020 the City Council voted to deny the request 4-2. At the meeting Council members discussed concern over the lack single family occupancy restrictions within the CD-3 zoning district.

The applicant updated their request is to zone approximately 12.5 acres to Character District 2.5 (CD-2.5), which is a new residential zoning district. This zoning district was added to the San Marcos Development Code by City Council on September 1, 2020 in order to provide an alternative to CD-3.

The City of San Marcos will provide water and wastewater services to the site. The developer will be responsible for extending water and wastewater facilities through the site. Bluebonnet Electric Cooperative will provide electric service to this development.

Near the Intersection of Highway 123 and Monterrey Oak Drive



Additional Analysis

This property abuts the Cottonwood Creek Subdivision, which consists of single family homes zoned Single Family-6 (SF-6), Patio Home-Zero Lot Line (PH-ZL), and Town House (TH). Additionally, Cottonwood Creek contains Bowie Elementary School.

The proposed zoning district, CD-2.5, allows two building types, House and Accessory Dwelling Unit. The maximum building height allowed within this district is two stories. Any lots proposed to be 45 feet or less in width will require alley access.

The most substantial difference between CD-2.5 and the CD-3 zoning district is that the single family occupancy restrictions, which restrict the number of non-related persons within a dwelling unit, do apply to CD-2.5, but do not apply to CD-3.

Comments from Other Departments		
Police	No Comment	
Fire	No Comment	
Public Services	No Comment	
Engineering	No Comment	

Zoning Request

ZC-20-23



	Evaluation		Critoria for Approval (Sec. 2.5.1.4)
Consistent	Inconsistent	Neutral	Criteria for Approval (Sec.2.5.1.4)
X			 Whether the proposed zoning map amendment implements the policies of the adopted Comprehensive Plan and preferred scenario map. Table 4.1 of the San Marcos Development Code identifies Character Districts as districts that are Considered in Low Intensity zones, all other districts are identified as Not Preferred.
		<u>N/A</u>	Whether the proposed zoning map amendment is consistent with any adopted small area plan or neighborhood character study for the area. Studies were not complete at the time of this request.
		<u>N/A</u>	Whether the proposed zoning map amendment is consistent with any applicable development agreement in effect. This property is requesting annexation, therefore no development agreement is proposed.
X			Whether the uses permitted by the proposed change in zoning district classification and the standards applicable to such uses shall be appropriate in the immediate area of the land to be reclassified. The uses allowed within this district are residential and have residential standards similar to those within the adjacent single family residential district.
<u>×</u>			Whether the proposed zoning will reinforce the existing or planned character of the area. <i>The proposed district is consistent with the planned character of</i> <i>the area based on the Preferred Scenario Map and</i> <i>Comprehensive Plan, which states that the community needs</i> <i>diversified housing options (Neighborhood and Housing Goal 3).</i> <i>As this district is limited to one and two family dwelling units, it</i> <i>is similar in character to the adjacent residential neighborhood.</i>
X			 Whether the site is appropriate for the development allowed in the proposed district. This site has very few development constraints and is appropriate for residential development.
		<u>N/A</u>	 Whether there are substantial reasons why the property cannot be used according to the existing zoning. The property is currently zoned Future Development (FD). Properties zoned FD are able to be used for rural residential or agricultural uses.

Zoning Request ZC-20-23



Evaluation				
Consistent	Inconsistent	Neutral	Criteria for Approval (Sec.2.5.1.4)	
<u>×</u>			Whether there is a need for the proposed use at the proposed location. The rezoning does serve a public purpose as it furthers the goals and vision of the Comprehensive Plan.	
X			Whether the City and other service providers will be able to provide sufficient public facilities and services including schools, roads, recreation facilities, wastewater treatment, water supply and stormwater facilities, public safety, and emergency services, while maintaining sufficient levels of service to existing development. The property is located adjacent to City Limits and adequate existing City services. Roads and utility infrastructure will be required to extend into and through the development at the developer's cost.	
<u>×</u>			Whether the proposed rezoning will have a significant adverse impact on property in the vicinity of the subject property. The property is currently undeveloped, as such development of any kind will have an impact on the abutting neighborhood. As this is proposed to be a residential district, the impact should be minimal when compared to more intense zoning districts allowed to be requested.	
		<u>N/A</u>	For requests to a Neighborhood Density District, whether the proposed amendment complies with the compatibility of uses and density in Section 4.1.2.5. <i>This is not a request for a Neighborhood Density District.</i>	
X			The impact the proposed amendment has with regard to the natural environment, including the quality and quantity of water and other natural resources, flooding, and wildlife management. <i>This property is located within an area that has very little</i> <i>environmental constraints according to the Land Use Suitability</i> <i>Map.</i>	
<u>x</u>			Any other factors which shall substantially affect the public health, safety, morals, or general welfare. <i>None noted.</i>	