ZC-20-20 (The Mayan-Character District-3 Zoning) Zoning Change Review (By Comp Plan Element)

LAND USE – Preferred Scenario Map / Land Use Intensity Matrix

	YES	NO
		(map amendment required)
Does the request meet the intent of the Preferred Scenario Map and the Land Use Intensity Matrix?	X – Character Districts are "Considered" in Low Intensity Zones on the	
	Preferred Scenario Map.	

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT – Furthering the goal of the Core 4 through the three strategies

STRATEGY	SUMMARY	Supports	Contradicts	Neutral
Preparing the 21st Century	Provides / Encourages educational			V
Workforce	opportunities			^
Competitive Infrastructure	Provides / Encourages land, utilities			
& Entrepreneurial	and infrastructure for business			X
Regulation				
The Community of Choice	Provides / Encourages safe & stable			
	neighborhoods, quality schools, fair			v
	wage jobs, community amenities,			^
	distinctive identity			

ENVIRONMENT & RESOURCE PROTECTION – Land Use Suitability & Development Constraints

	1	2	3	4	5
	(least)		(moderate)		(most)
Level of Overall Constraint		55%	29%	9%	7%
Constraint by Class					
Cultural	77%			23%	
Edwards Aquifer	100%				
Endangered Species	100%				
Floodplains	96%			4%	
Geological	100%				
Slope	100%				
Soils	46%	42%		12%	
Vegetation	100%				
Watersheds		14%	86%		
Water Quality Zone	78%			11%	11%

ENVIRONMENT & RESOURCE PROTECTION – Water Quality Model Results

Located in Subwatershed: Lower San Marcos River Water	rshed				
	0-25%	25-50%	50-75%	75-100%	100%+
Modeled Impervious Cover Increase Anticipated for Watershed	X				

Notes: The 2013 Comprehensive Plan predicted a 16% increase of impervious cover under the Preferred Scenario of development compared to a 91% increase under the trend scenario. The Plan predicted that the amount of urban land (meaning in City limits and not agriculture or undeveloped) in this watershed would increase from 7% to 9% under the Preferred Scenario of Development as compared to an increase to 14% under the trend scenario. The trend scenario envisioned lower density, single family development South of the San Marcos River while the preferred scenario envisioned medium density development concentrated along the Highway 80 and Highway 21 intersection.

According to the model, this watershed has a high amount of bacteria loadings based on the amount of cattle per acre and recommends various landscape management methods such as native grasses and shrubs to provide vegetative filter strips and reduce the rate of erosion.

NEIGHBORHOODS – Where is the property located

CONA Neighborhood(s):	N/A – Outside City Limits
Neighborhood Commission Area(s):	N/A – Outside City Limits
Neighborhood Character Study Area(s):	N/A

PARKS, PUBLIC SPACES AND FACILITIES – Availability of parks and infrastructure

				YES	NO
Will Parks and / or Open Space	e be Provided? Pa	arkland dedic	cation and	X	
parkland development is req	uired at the time	of plat and is	s based on the		
number of units proposed. Fe	ee in lieu of dedic	ation and de	velopment may		
be accepted if requested by t	the subdivider and	d approved b	y the		
Responsible Official and/or t	he Parks Board.				
Will Trails and / or Green Spa	ce Connections be	e Provided?	Γhe	X	
Transportation Master Plan I	equires a greenw	ay along the	future FM-110		
extension and Staples Road.					
Maintenance / Repair	Low		Medium		High
Density	(maintenance)				(maintenance)
Wastewater Infrastructure	X				
Water Infrastructure	X				
Public Facility Availability				YES	NO
Parks / Open Space within ¼ i	mile (walking dista	ance)? The n	earest park is		X
the El Camino Real Park which	ch is located appro	oximately 2 r	niles from the		
closest property in the propo	sed development	t			
Wastewater service available	? The developer	will be requ	ired to extend		X
wastewater service to the de	velopment. Wast	ewater lines	will be		
required throughout the dev	elopment to servi	ice the prope	erty.		
Water service available? The		-		X	
developer will be required to			=		
needed. Water lines will be a	Ilso required thro	ughout the d	evelopment to		
service the property.					

TRANSPORTATION – Level of Service (LOS), Access to sidewalks, bicycle lanes and public transportation

		Α	В	С	D	F
Existing Daily LOS	Staples Road	X				

Existing Peak LOS	Staples Road	X				
Preferred Scenario Daily LOS	•			X		
	FM-110					X
Preferred Scenario Peak LOS	Staples Road	X				
	FM-110					X
Note: The property will be re	equired to meet the Transi	portation Master	Plan and	construct	required	
streets per the Block Standa	rds in the Development Co	ode.	1	I		Ι_
•	•	ode.	N/A	Good	Fair	Poor
Sidewalk Availability (Require	•	ode.	N/A X	Good	Fair	Poor
•	ed to build.)		X	Good	Fair	Poor
Sidewalk Availability (Require	ed to build.)		X nt.	Good	Fair N	
Sidewalk Availability (Require	ed to build.) be constructed at the time	ne of developmer	X nt.		-	0
Sidewalk Availability (Require Sidewalks will be required to	ed to build.) be constructed at the time ane? The development will	ne of developmer	X nt.		N	0
Sidewalk Availability (Require Sidewalks will be required to Adjacent to existing bicycle leads to the state of the state	ed to build.) be constructed at the time ane? The development will	ne of developmer	X nt.		N	0