ZC-20-11 (Lantana on Bastrop) Zoning Change Review (By Comp Plan Element)

	YES	NO
		(map amendment required)
Does the request meet the intent of the Preferred Scenario Map and the Land Use Intensity Matrix?	X – Character Districts are "Considered" in Medium and High Intensity Zones on the Preferred Scenario Map.	

LAND USE – Preferred Scenario Map / Land Use Intensity Matrix

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT – Furthering the goal of the Core 4 through the three strategies

STRATEGY	SUMMARY	Supports	Contradicts	Neutral
Preparing the 21 st Century Workforce	Provides / Encourages educational opportunities			X
Competitive Infrastructure & Entrepreneurial Regulation	Provides / Encourages land, utilities and infrastructure for business			x
The Community of Choice	Provides / Encourages safe & stable neighborhoods, quality schools, fair wage jobs, community amenities, distinctive identity			x

ENVIRONMENT & RESOURCE PROTECTION – Land Use Suitability & Development Constraints

	1	2	3	4	5
	(least)		(moderate)		(most)
Level of Overall Constraint	90%	10%			
Constraint by Class					
Cultural	100%				
Edwards Aquifer	100%				
Endangered Species	100%				
Floodplains	100%				
Geological	100%				
Slope	100%				
Soils	90%	10%			
Vegetation	100%				
Watersheds	100%				
Water Quality Zone	100%				

ENVIRONMENT & RESOURCE PROTECTION – Water Quality Model Results

Located in Subwatershed:	Cottonwood Creek Watershed					
		0-25%	25-50%	50-75%	75-100%	100%+
Modeled Impervious Cover I	ncrease Anticipated for					<
Watershed						^

Notes: The 2013 Comprehensive Plan predicted a 342% increase of impervious cover under the Preferred Scenario of development. Although this may seem alarming, the area is primarily rural, undeveloped, and used for agriculture so any increase in impervious cover will seem high compared to the existing amount of 1.8% at the time the Comprehensive Plan was adopted. The predicted increase in impervious cover is attributed to multiple intensity zones located within the watershed.

NEIGHBORHOODS – Where is the property located

CONA Neighborhood(s):	N/A – Outside City Limits
Neighborhood Commission Area(s):	N/A – Outside City Limits
Neighborhood Character Study Area(s):	N/A

PARKS, PUBLIC SPACES AND FACILITIES - Availability of parks and infrastructure

				YES	NO
Will Parks and / or Open Space I development is required at the proposed. Fee in lieu of dedicat requested by the subdivider an Parks Board.	time of plat and is ion and developm	based on the i ent may be acc	number of units cepted if	X	
Will Trails and / or Green Space	Connections be Pro	ovided? The Tr	ansportation	X	
Master Plan requires a greenwa	y along Rattler Ro	ad.			
Maintenance / Repair Density	Low		Medium		High
	(maintenance)				(maintenance)
Wastewater Infrastructure	X				
Water Infrastructure	X				
Public Facility Availability				YES	NO
Parks / Open Space within ¼ mil	e (walking distance)? The neares	t park is the		X
Cottonwood Creek Park which i	s within approximation	ately ½ mile w	alking distance.		
El Camino Real Park is within ap	proximately 1-mil	e walking dista	ince.		
Wastewater service available?	Wastewater lines	will be require	d throughout	X	
the development to service the	property.				
Water service available? Water	lines will be also r	equired throug	shout the	X	
development to service the pro	perty.				

TRANSPORTATION – Level of Service (LOS), Access to sidewalks, bicycle lanes and public transportation

		А	В	С	D	F
Existing Daily LOS	South Old Bastrop Highway	X				
	Rattler Road	X				
Existing Peak LOS	South Old Bastrop Highway	X				
	Rattler Road	X				
Preferred Scenario Daily LOS	South Old Bastrop Highway	X				
	Rattler Road	X				
Preferred Scenario Peak LOS	South Old Bastrop Highway	X				
	Rattler Road			X		
Note: The property will be re Block Standards in the Develo	quired to meet the Transportation I opment Code.	Master Plan a	nd constru	ct required	d streets p	per the
	·		N/A	Good	Fair	Poor
Sidewalk Availability (Require	d to build.)		X			

	YES	NO
Adjacent to existing bicycle lane? The development will be responsible for		X
constructing required bike infrastructure within new proposed streets.		
Adjacent to existing public transportation route?		X