

Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

Item #	Amendment Type	Code Section	Proposed Amendment	Staff Notes & Recommendation
1	Engineering Department Recommendation	2.6.1.1.D. - 2.6.1.4	Make Qualified Watershed Protection Plans administrative	This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to include administrative approval capability for Qualified Watershed Protection Plans.
2	Engineering Department Recommendation	3.9.1.1.G	Add exception to detention/retention requirement for residential plats of 4 lots or less. Require approved drainage analysis and payment-in-lieu to stormwater management fund.	This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to include fee-in-lieu option for minor plats demonstrating no adverse impacts.
3	Engineering Department Recommendation	6.1.1.2.B	Add exception to detention and/or water quality requirements for significantly constrained sites outside the Urban Stormwater Management District.	This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to include waiver option when payment into stormwater management fund is made, and no adverse impacts have been demonstrated through a drainage analysis.
4	Engineering Department Recommendation	6.1.1.1.D	Add exception to water quality requirements for residential plats of 4 lots or less.	This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to waive water quality requirements for residential plats of four lots are less that are served by an existing street.
5	Engineering Department Recommendation	6.2.2.1 - 6.2.2.2	Clarify how WQZ and Buffer Zones are delineated now that entire floodplain is considered floodway	This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to clarify how the water quality zone and buffer zone are determined.
6	Engineering Department Recommendation	6.2.2.3.C	Modify sensitive feature protection zone to include 25 ft buffer around feature and additional buffer in upstream direction.	This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to include a buffer around the feature and additional buffer in upstream direction.
7	Engineering Department Recommendation	6.2.3.2	Require reclamation of water quality zones to incorporate natural channel design and shape.	This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop. Staff recommends the edits as presented to require the incorporation of natural channel function, aesthetics, and design.

Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

Item #	Amendment Type	Code Section	Proposed Amendment	Staff Notes & Recommendation
8	Engineering Department Recommendation	6.3.2.1.C	Add waiver of geological assessment requirement for sites that do not warrant assessment	<p>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends the edits as presented to allow waivers from the Responsible Official for sites that do not warrant assessment.</p>
9	Engineering Department Recommendation	6.2.3.5	Clarify mitigation requirements within water quality and buffer zones	<p>This recommendation from the Engineering Department was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends the edits as presented to clarify mitigation requirements within water quality and buffer zones.</p>
10	Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation	2.8.3.5	Expire conditional use permits after 3 years. (no longer allow lifetime approvals)	<p>This recommendation from the Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends the edits as presented which would require all Alcohol CUP holders to renew their permit, at a minimum, every three years.</p>
11	Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation	2.8.3.6	Limit appeal eligibility to applicant only. (does not remove citizen appeal eligibility)	<p>This recommendation from the Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends the edits as presented which would limit appeal eligibility of those within the 400' buffer zone to decisions of approval only.</p>
12	Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation	5.1.5.5	Require all permit holders to keep site in clean and sanitary condition.	<p>This recommendation from the Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of the edits as presented which would require all Alcohol CUP holders to keep their site in a clean and sanitary condition.</p>
13	Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee Recommendation	7.4.2.1	Update noise ordinance to include enforcement details and procedure.	<p>This recommendation from the Alcohol Conditional Use Permit Committee was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends the edits as presented which would clarify applicability, measurement protocols, the hours max decibels are allowed, the max decibels allowed from single-family residential zoning or uses, and provide specific instances where exemptions are allowed.</p>

Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

Item #	Amendment Type	Code Section	Proposed Amendment	Staff Notes & Recommendation
14	Housing Task Force Recommendation	2.5.1.4	Add "meets affordability needs as defined in the Strategic Housing Action Plan" as rezone evaluation criteria.	<p>This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends the edits as presented which would require staff and decision makers to consider alignment with <u>all</u> applicable City Council adopted plans as a criteria for approval when considering rezones.</p>
15	Housing Task Force Recommendation	3.6.3.1	Exempt smaller lot and infill development from the Lot Width to Depth requirements	<p>This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of the edits as presented. This amendment would exempt infill development only (not small lot development) from the 3:1 lot width to depth requirement. The amendment as proposed would not allow these lots to exceed a 6:1 width to depth ratio.</p>
16	Housing Task Force Recommendation	4.4.6.1	Allow ADU parking in second layer of lot.	<p>This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends the edits as presented which would allow the additional parking required for Accessory Dwelling Units in the second, as well as third layer of the lot.</p>
17	Housing Task Force Recommendation	Chapter 7, Article 6 San Marcos Code of Ordinances, Chapter 14, Buildings and Building Regulations	Modify definition of RV and manufactured home parks to include tiny homes. Adopt Appendix Q of 2018 building code	<p>This recommendation from the Housing Task Force was reviewed at the June 5, 2019 workshop.</p> <p>Staff recommends the edits as presented which would clarify that 1) tiny homes (built to building code) are allowed in Manufactured Home zoning districts and should follow Manufacture Home park standards, and 2) that tiny home construction and inspections shall meet requirements in Appendix Q of the International Residential Code.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of tiny home specific standards and policy decisions following the update and adoption of the San Marcos Comprehensive Plan.</p>
18	Predevelopment Meetings	2.3.1.1 (D)	Make predevelopment meetings mandatory. Provide Responsible Official with authority to waive if application does not warrant a meeting.	<p>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommends the amendment as presented to require predevelopment meetings unless waived by the Responsible Official.</p>

Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

Item #	Amendment Type	Code Section	Proposed Amendment	Staff Notes & Recommendation
19	Text Amendments	2.4.1.2 (B)	Remove requirement for initial authorization from City Council for amendments initiated, requested, or directed by City Council.	<p>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommends the amendment as presented to remove requirement for initial authorization from City Council when analysis and recommendation on amendment is requested or directed by Council.</p>
20	Zoning Procedures	2.5.1.2-2.5.1.3	Allow Planning & Zoning Commission to recommend approval of a less intense zoning district classification. Allow City Council to approve a less intense zoning district classification.	<p>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommends the amendment as presented to allow Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council to recommend and approve a less intense zoning district classification.</p>
21	Certificates of Appropriateness	2.5.5.5	Make City Council (not ZBOA) the appellate body for City owned properties.	<p>This amendment was reviewed by City Council and Planning & Zoning Commission at June 5, 2019 Workshop with the recommendation to staff to bring forward.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented. This amendment would designate City Council as the appellate body for City owned properties.</p>
22	Concept Plats	3.2.1.1	Exempt applicant from requirement for Concept Plat when applicant is ready to submit a Preliminary Plat	<p>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommends the amendment as presented to remove requirement for a Concept Plat when the applicant is prepared to submit a preliminary plat.</p>
23	Block Perimeter	3.6.2.1	Increase maximum block perimeter in ETJ from 3,000 feet to 5,000 feet.	<p>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommends the amendment as increase the maximum block perimeter in the ETJ from 3,000 feet to 5,000 feet.</p>
24	Block Perimeter	3.6.2.1	Add waiver/exception to block perimeter requirement in Heavy Industrial districts.	<p>This amendment was reviewed by City Council and Planning & Zoning Commission at June 5, 2019 Workshop with the recommendation to staff to bring forward.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented to allow for an increased block perimeter in HI districts in order to accomodate facilities that by their nature, are larger than general commercial facilities. Staff recommends not allowing the perimeter to exceed any more than what is needed for the individual structure and the required parking and landscaping.</p>

Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

Item #	Amendment Type	Code Section	Proposed Amendment	Staff Notes & Recommendation
25	Streets	3.7.2.2- 3.7.2.6	Align right-of-way standards in Development Code to recommendations appendix of Transportation Master Plan.	<p>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommends the amendments as presented to ensure the dimensional standards for ROW in the Development Code match the Transportation Master Plan.</p>
26	Zoning	4.4.3.3.	Include occupancy restrictions in CD-3 zoning.	<p>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommends the amendment as presented to apply occupancy restrictions in CD-3 zoning districts which are intended for low density residential.</p>
27	Building Types	4.2.1.1 (Update 4.4.1.1-4.4.3.7 to reflect updated definition)	Provide better definition for "house" and "cottage."	<p>This is an additional amendment identified by staff since the the June 5th workshop and Phase 1 amendments.</p> <p>Staff recommends the amendments as presented to clarify that since a "house" and "cottage" building type are identical and the base standards of the zoning district determine the structure size and scale, only one building type is necessary.</p>
28	Historic Preservation Commission Recommendation	San Marcos Design Manual, Section C.5.1.1	Add sustainability purpose section to Historic Design Guidelines Standards for Sustainability	<p>Resolution 2019-03RR was approved by Council in December 2019. In February 2020, Council directed staff to bring forward amendments during the current update rather than as a separate agenda item.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of the edits as presented in order to include a purpose statement in the Sustainability Guidelines in Historic Districts section of the Design Manual.</p>
29	Event Center Use	5.5.5.9	Add new "Special Events Facility" use	<p>This amendment was requested by a developer in January 2020.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of the amendments as presented in order to facilitate this new use city-wide.</p>
30	Neighborhood Density District	4.4.2.2	Add an ND-3.2 zoning district which allows more moderate increase in density, setbacks, and allowed housing types from conventional residential districts	<p>City Council request to expedite this amendment at the January 7, 2020 work session.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.</p>
31	Comprehensive Plan Map Amendments	2.4.2.3	Require majority-plus-one vote from Planning & Zoning and City Council for all comprehensive plan map amendments	<p>City Council request to expedite this amendment at the January 7, 2020 work session.</p> <p>Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.</p>

Exhibit A - Recommendation Table

Item #	Amendment Type	Code Section	Proposed Amendment	Staff Notes & Recommendation
32	Application Processing-Informational Meetings	4.1.1.6	Require Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment any time a higher intensity zoning designation is requested Remove "Corridor" column from table.	City Council request to expedite this amendment at the January 7, 2020 work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would require additional votes and additional meetings for requests in existing neighborhoods.
33	Neighborhood Transitions	4.3.4.5	Replace current standards with requirement that Commercial use across the street from established residential use be limited to 1 story.	The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented to limit height within a certain distance of a single family zoning district.
34	Durable Building Materials	4.3.5.1.7	Include a statement that the City prefers the use of Durable Building Materials	The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.
35	Accessory Dwelling Units	5.1.1.2 5.1.3.1	Change from by-right to conditional use in all districts	The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would require a CUP in low density, single-family districts.
36	Parking	7.1.2.1	On street parking should not count towards Multifamily parking requirement in CD-5D districts	The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.
37	Parking	7.1.2.2.B.1	Remove exemption for projects of 10 or fewer units in CD-5 and CD-5D zones	The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 3rd work session. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented which would allow an applicant to seek approval from City Council.
38	Character District	4.4.3.3	Add a Character District (CD) between 2 and 3 that allows single family with occupancy restrictions compatible with other CDs. Add maximum lot width to CD-5D.	The addition of this amendment was requested by City Council at the March 17th regular meeting. Staff recommends consideration of the amendment as presented.