## Commercial Loop & Gregsons Bend



### **Summary**

Request:	Zoning change from GC / F	Zoning change from GC / FD to PA			
Applicant:	Ed Theriot	Ed Theriot <b>Property Owner:</b>			
	Doucet & Associates, Inc.		LTD		
	7401 B Hwy 71 W		415 N Guadalupe St, Ste		
	Austin, TX 78735	Austin, TX 78735			
			San Marcos, TX 78666		

## **Notification**

Application:	December 31, 2019	Neighborhood Meeting:	N/A
Published:	February 9, 2020	# of Participants	N/A
Posted:	February 7, 2020	Personal:	February 7, 2020
Response:	None as of the date of this report		

## **Property Description**

Legal Description:	Edward Burleson Survey, Tract pt of 4					
Location:	Commercial Loop & Gregsons Bend					
Acreage:	62.48 acres	62.48 acres PDD/DA/Other: N/A				
Existing Zoning:	FD / GC	FD/GC Proposed Zoning: PA				
Existing Use:	Vacant	Proposed Use:	Sports Complex			
Existing Occupancy:	N/A	Occupancy:	N/A			
Preferred Scenario:	Employment Center	Proposed Designation:	Employment Center			
CONA Neighborhood:	N/A	Sector:	9			
Utility Capacity:	Adequate	Floodplain:	No			
Historic Designation:	N/A	My Historic SMTX	No			
		<b>Resources Survey</b>				

Surrounding Area	Zoning	Existing Land Use	Preferred Scenario
North of Property:	ETJ	Vacant	Employment Center
South of Property:	ETJ	Vacant	Employment Center
East of Property:	GC	Hotels / Car Dealership	Employment Center
West of Property:	ETJ	Railroad / Vacant	Medium Intensity



### **Staff Recommendation**

<u>X</u>	Approval as Submitted	Alternate Approval	Denial
Staff: Tory Carpenter, AICP, CNU-A		Title : Planner	Date: March 12, 2020

### **Commission Recommendation**

Approval as Submitted		Approval with Conditions / Alternate		Denial	
Speakers in favor:					
<ul> <li>Ed Theriot</li> </ul>					
otion was made by Comm	issi	oner Kelsey, seconded by Commissioner Rand	d, tha	at ZC-20-03 be approved.	
For: 8					
-					
ent: 1					
i	<ul> <li>akers in favor:</li> <li>Ed Theriot</li> <li>ommendation from the P otion was made by Comm</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>akers in favor:</li> <li>Ed Theriot</li> <li>ommendation from the Plann otion was made by Commission</li> <li>8</li> <li>inst: 0</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>akers in favor:</li> <li>Ed Theriot</li> <li>ommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting held F otion was made by Commissioner Kelsey, seconded by Commissioner Rand</li> <li>8         inst: 0     </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>akers in favor:</li> <li>Ed Theriot</li> <li>ommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting held Februation was made by Commissioner Kelsey, seconded by Commissioner Rand, that 8         8         </li> <li>inst: 0</li> </ul>	

#### <u>History</u>

In November 2019, the City Council approved economic incentives and a land purchase agreement for a portion of this property for the use of sportsplex facility.

#### Additional Analysis

The Planning Area District is intended for larger greenfield tracts in low to medium intensity areas or employment areas where residential uses are incorporated into a corporate campus or similar employment type use.

Planning Area District is indicated as "not preferred" on table 4.1, therefore, further scrutiny is required to determine consistency with the Comprehensive Plan.

Comments from Other Departments		
Police	No Comment	
Fire	No Comment	
Public Services	No Comment	
Engineering	No Comment	

Zoning Request ZC-20-03

# Commercial Loop & Gregsons Bend



Evaluation			Criteria for Approval (Sec.2.5.1.4)
Consistent	Inconsistent	Neutral	
<u>x</u>			Whether the proposed zoning map amendment implements the policies of the adopted Comprehensive Plan and preferred scenario map. <i>This zoning change is appropriate for this designated Employment Center.</i>
		<u>N/A</u>	Whether the proposed zoning map amendment is consistent with any adopted small area plan or neighborhood character study for the area. <i>Studies were not complete at the time of this request.</i>
		<u>N/A</u>	Whether the proposed zoning map amendment is consistent with any applicable development agreement in effect. <i>This property is requesting annexation, therefore no Development Agreement is proposed.</i>
<u>×</u>			Whether the uses permitted by the proposed change in zoning district classification and the standards applicable to such uses shall be appropriate in the immediate area of the land to be reclassified. <i>The proposed uses are consistent with existing surrounding commercial uses.</i>
<u>×</u>			Whether the proposed zoning will reinforce the existing or planned character of the area. The proposed development is consistent with existing surrounding commercial uses.
<u>×</u>			Whether the site is appropriate for the development allowed in the proposed district. <b>The site has few development constraints.</b>
		<u>N/A</u>	Whether there are substantial reasons why the property cannot be used according to the existing zoning. <i>The majority of the property is currently outside the City Limits.</i>
<u>x</u>			Whether there is a need for the proposed use at the proposed location.
<u>×</u>			Whether the City and other service providers will be able to provide sufficient public facilities and services including schools, roads, recreation facilities, wastewater treatment, water supply and stormwater facilities, public safety, and emergency services, while maintaining sufficient levels of service to existing development. <i>Roads and utility infrastructure will be required to extend into and through the development. Per the Economic Incentive Agreement,</i> <i>The City is cost participating in these improvements.</i>

Zoning Request ZC-20-03

# Commercial Loop & Gregsons Bend



Evaluation			Criteria for Approval (Sec.2.5.1.4)
Consistent	Inconsistent	Neutral	
<u>x</u>			Whether the proposed rezoning will have a significant adverse impact on property in the vicinity of the subject property. <i>The proposed development is consistent with existing surrounding</i> <i>commercial uses.</i>
		<u>N/A</u>	For requests to a Neighborhood Density District, whether the proposed amendment complies with the compatibility of uses and density in Section 4.1.2.5. <i>This is not a request for a Neighborhood Density District.</i>
<u>x</u>			The impact the proposed amendment has with regard to the natural environment, including the quality and quantity of water and other natural resources, flooding, and wildlife management. <i>This property is located within an area that has little environmental</i> <i>constraints according to the Land Use Suitability Map.</i>
<u>×</u>			Any other factors which shall substantially affect the public health, safety, morals, or general welfare. <i>None noted.</i>