

Tax Ceilings and Exemptions December 3, 2019

City of San Marcos | Finance

Purpose and Agenda

SANJIJArc⊚s

Purpose

- Gain an understanding of the appraisal process
- Discuss tax ceilings and exemptions
- Walk through a case study of impact over a 5 year period
- > Discuss options



- Appraisal District process and methods
- Tax Ceilings and Exemptions
- Case Study
- Considerations and Next Steps

Agenda



Tax Ceilings & Exemptions

Homestead Tax Ceiling



Limits do or places

Limits dollar amount of taxes paidor places a ceiling on the taxes paid

Tax ceiling set equal to the dollar amount of taxes paid the year the homeowner qualifies for ceiling

Tax paid will not exceed ceiling unless improvements are made or homeowner is no longer eligible



Ceiling will adjust if tax rate or taxable value decreases resulting in less taxes than original ceiling



Increases to tax rate, even voter approved, will not change the ceiling

Once the tax ceiling is adopted it cannot be repealed

Tax Exemptions





Current Exemptions

SAN)))Arc⊚s



Peer City Offerings

SAN)))Arc⊚s



Surveyed New Braunfels, Schertz, San Antonio, Universal City, Austin, Georgetown, Round Rock, Bryan, and College Station

Case Study



Case Study

 $\checkmark\,$ Assumes tax ceiling put in place for tax year 2014

✓ Based on actual tax payer data

	Tax Year 2014	Tax Year 2015	Tax Year 2016	Tax Year 2017*	Tax Year 2018
Number of over 65 exemptions	1448	1527	1647	1744	1835
Increase in exemptions		79	120	97	91
Tax generated	\$886,000	\$1,015,000	\$1,223,000	\$1,645,000	\$1,899,000
Estimated tax generated with ceiling in place	\$886,000	\$948,000	\$1,077,000	\$1,216,000	\$1,387,000
Tax forfeited due to ceiling	\$0	\$67,000	\$147,000	\$429,000	\$513,000
Average reduction per homeowner from 2014	\$0	\$44	\$89	\$246	\$279
Savings between years	\$0	\$44	\$45	\$157	\$33

* Voter approved tax rate increase from .5302/\$100 to .6139/\$100

Case Study Conclusions

SAN)))Arc⊚s



**Per the case study, 2017 tax revenue would have been reduced by \$429,000 so the tax rate increase to support the GO bond debt and operational expense would need to be an extra 1 cent (one cent produces approximately \$500,000 in tax revenue) to produce the same amount of revenue.

Considerations and Next Steps

Considerations





3.5% Revenue cap effective for FY2021. If cap was in place in FY20, Rollback rate equals 59.45 and GF revenue would be reduced by \$1.1M



Faced challenges in prior fiscal years meeting and expanding core services



Continue to face challenges funding infrastructure, facility and neighborhood CIP projects



Kissing Tree expected to add \$100M annually in new home values. Many of these residents are over 65 and ceiling placed on the taxes paid.

Options for Consideration

SAN)))Arc⊚s



- An additional \$5K exemption would save the homeowner \$30.70 annually.
- Based on tax year 2018 exemptions, this would be a reduction in GF revenue of \$55-60K.
- Could be implemented over several years.



- Based on tax year 2018 exemptions, this would be a reduction in GF revenue of \$140-145K.
- Could be implemented over several years.



- Maintain exemptions at current levels until the effect of revenue caps is more evident.
- Can re-evaluate annually

Next Steps





Questions?







