SUBDIVISION VARIANCE APPLICATION FORM

Updated: March, 2017

Case # PVC-



CONTACT INFORMATION

Applicant's Name I. T. Gonzalez

Applicant's Name	I. T. Gonzalez	Property Owner	Claudia E Serna & Juan Angel Garza
Applicant's Mailing Address	3501 Manor Road, Austin, TX 78723	Owner's Mailing Address	PO Box 2100, Kyle, TX 78640
Applicant's Phone #	512-447-7400 #11	Owner's Phone#	956-622-1051
Applicant's Email	itgonz@swbell.net	Owner's Email	serna.trucking@gmail.com
PROPERTY INFO		rris Hill Road, San Marcos, 1	⁻ exas, 78666
Acres: 13.72	<u> </u>	ax ID #: R 149861	
Legal Description*: Lo	ot_ Block	Subdivision_	
	vey indicating the outer boundary of er a required Subdivision Master Pl		required if variance is to
		an or a required place	
DESCRIPTION OF Variance to Section: 6		he I and Develonmen	t Code, which requires:
a lot depth to lot width ra		Land Developmen	r oode, which requires.
Description of propose	ed variance: The lot depth to lot wie	ith ratio for the one lot	subdivision is 6.96.
The lot depth is 2138' ar	nd the lot width is 307'.		
AUTHORIZATION			
	documents are attached. I understand my responsibility to be present at r Technology Fee \$1 J.T Dannerstand de la company see see see see see see see see see se	neetings regarding this	application.
To be completed by Staff	f: Accepted By:	The second secon	ate Accepted:
Proposed Meeting Date:_	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Application Deadlii	10:

SUBDIVISION VARIANCE CONSIDERATIONS

1) What special circumstances or conditions affect the subject property such that strict application of the provisions of the Land Development Code would create an unnecessary hardship or inequity upon the applicant or would deprive the applicant of the reasonable and beneficial use of the property?

The tract of land to be platted has a length to width ratio of 6.9:1, which exceeds the specified 3:1 ratio. The standard rationale for the use of maximum depth to width ratios is to avoid access and circulation problems for a long narrow lot. Being a fairly large tract of 13.72 acres, these issues will not be a problem, as they would be as for example with 0.5 acre lots. With the large lot, the driveways will exceed what is specified in the driveway spacing criteria. And there will be no internal circulation problems.

2) Do the circumstances or conditions causing the hardship similarly affect all or most of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property?

No, the situation causing the hardship is fairly unique for properties in the vicinity of the subject tract.

3) What substantial property right would not be preserved or enjoyed if the provisions of the Land Development Code were literally enforced?

The owners would not be able to develop their property in a practical manner if the Land Development Code were literally enforced.

4) What effect, if any, would the variance have on the rights of owners or occupants of surrounding property, or on the public health, safety or general welfare?

The rights of owners or occupants of surrounding property, or on the public health, safety or general welfare will not be affected in any adverse way if the variance being requested is granted.

5) What effect, if any, would the variance have on the orderly subdivision of other land in the area in accordance with the provisions of the Land Development Code?

Granting the requested variance will have no effect on the orderly subdivision of land in the area.

6) Is the hardship or inequity suffered by the applicant caused wholly or in substantial part by the property owner or applicant?

The hardship or inequity is not caused by the property owner.

6) To what extent is the request for variance based upon a desire of the owner, occupant or applicant for increase financial gain from the property, or to reduce an existing financial hardship?
The owners request for variance is based upon their desire to use their property for commercial purposes. The variance would be needed for any type of commercial enterprise.

7) Is the degree of variance requested the minimum amount necessary to meet the needs of the applicant or property owner?

The variance being requested is the minimum that will work in this situation.

*Note for the Commission:

The following responses were provided by the applicant and may not be consistent with the Department staff report

AGREEMENT TO THE PLACEMENT OF NOTIFICATION SIGNS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

The City of San Marcos Land Development Code requires public notification in the form of notification signs on the subject property, published notice, and / or personal notice based on the type of application presented to the Planning Commission and / or City Council.

- Notification Signs: if required by code, staff shall place notification signs on each street adjacent to the subject property and must be placed in a visible, unobstructed location near the property line. It is unlawful for a person to alter any notification sign, or to remove it while the request is pending. However, any removal or alteration that is beyond the control of the applicant shall not constitute a failure to meet notification requirements. It is the responsibility of the applicant to periodically check the sign locations to verify that the signs remain in place until final action is taken on the application and have not been vandalized or removed until after such final decision or when such application is withdrawn by the applicant. It is the responsibility of the applicant to immediately notify the Planning and Development Services Department of missing or defective signs.
- Published Notice: if required by code, staff shall publish a notice in a newspaper of general circulation in accordance with City Codes and the Texas Local Government Code. If, for any reason, more than one notice is required to be published it may be at the expense of the applicant. The renotification fee shall be \$85 plus an \$11 technology fee.
- Personal Notice: if required by code, staff shall mail personal notice in accordance with City Codes and the
 Texas Local Government Code, If, for any reason, more than one notice is required to be mailed it may be
 at the expense of the applicant. The renotification fee shall be \$85 plus an \$11 technology fee.

I have read the above statements and agree to the required public notification, as required, based on the attached application. The City's Planning and Development Services Department staff has my permission to place signs, as required, on the property and I will notify City staff if the sign(s) is/are damaged, moved or removed. I understand the process of notification and public hearing and hereby submit the attached application for review by the City.

Print Nam	e: I. T. Gonzalez, P.E	-		

AGENT AUTHORIZATION TO REPRESENT PROPERTY OWNER			
إ Juan Angel Garza	(owner) acknowledge that	t I am the rightful owner of the	
property located at 3030 Harris Hil	TOdu, Sair Walcos, Texas 70000	(address).	
I hereby authorize IT Gonzalez		(agent name) to serve as my	
agent to file this application for	rariance	(application type),	
and to work with the Responsible	e Official / Department on my beha	air throughout the process.	
	K		
Signature of Property Owner:		Date: 9/16/17	
Printed Name: Juan Angel Garza			
Signature of Agent: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	, In	Date: <u>NVV 272017</u>	
Printed Name: I. T. Gonzalez, P.E.			
To be completed by Staff: Case #			
1.0.00 completed by Stall. Gase #		A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	

AGENT AUTHORIZATION TO REPRESENT PROPERTY OWNER				
I, Claudia E Serna (owner) acknowledge that I am th	e rightful owner of the			
property located at 3030 Harris Hill Road, San Marcos, Texas 78666	(address).			
	nt name) to serve as my			
agent to file this application for variance	(application type),			
and to work with the Responsible Official / Department on my behalf throu	ghout the process.			
Signature of Property Owner: Cloud a Serva.	Date: 9/6/17			
Printed Name: Claudia E Serna				
Signature of Agent:	Date: NIV 27207			
Printed Name: I. T. Gonzalez, P.E.				
To be completed by Staff. Case #				