

Parrish, Will

Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Request for PSA Consideration to be Year Long

From: Amy Meeks <amymmeeks@yahoo.com>

Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 3:45 PM

To: Planning Info <PlanningInfo@sanmarcostx.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Request for PSA Consideration to be Year Long

Please send this letter to all P&Z members prior to their meeting tomorrow night, September 25, 2018.

Thank you,

Amy Meeks
512.757.3790

Dear P&Z Members,

I ask that you vote against the request to allow PSAs throughout the year. As it is now, there are two times a year when PSAs can be considered. I believe the LDC should continue to include only two times a year when PSAs can be requested.

As you well know, there continues to be many questions and much tension within San Marcos regarding the implementation of our new LDC. As it is now, citizens know that twice a year they need to be astute concerning any proposed PSAs, but if the rules are changed and PSAs can be requested throughout the year, it will lead many citizens to believe that the city is truly working for the developers, not the citizens.

I continue to believe that San Marcos citizens should dictate when and where development happens and city staff should implement those dictates. Anything else reduces the citizens' opinions to being irrelevant.

I ask that you vote against extending the time when PSAs can be requested, thus retaining the twice a year current status.

Many thanks for your service to all of San Marcos.

Amy Meeks

512.757.3790

CAUTION: This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email .

Parrish, Will

Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] for the P&Z commissioners

From: Camille Phillips <camille.phillips2@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, September 24, 2018 9:36 PM

To: Burrell, Cesly <CBurrell@sanmarcostx.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] for the P&Z commissioners

Dear Planning and Zoning Commissioners:

Here is most of a letter to the editor that was published on Sunday.

On Tuesday, Sept. 25, the Planning and Zoning Commission will consider a motion called LDC-18-01. This motion would allow Preferred Scenario Amendments (PSA) to be requested at any time of the year.

Currently these amendments can only be requested twice a year.

A Preferred Scenario Amendment is a change to our current master plan.

This plan represents an investment of thousands of hours of work by staff and by community members.

This plan represents an investment of tens of thousands of dollars – probably more than \$100,000, maybe even more than \$200,000.

Why allow the plan to be changed at any time?

The current rule that these amendments can only be requested twice a year serves the community well.

Neighbors who would be affected by changes to the master plan can rely on the current schedule to protect them and to give the neighbors predictability about changes occurring near them.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,
Camille. Phillips

CAUTION: This is an email from an EXTERNAL source. DO NOT click links or open attachments without positive sender verification of purpose. Never enter USERNAME, PASSWORD or sensitive information on linked pages from this email .



PLANNING COMMISSION COMMENT SHEET

Regular Meeting Date: 9/25/18

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN UP SHEET

*"Please **PRINT** all information."*

Name: James K. Baker Telephone: 512-396-9422

Address: 727 Belvin Street

e-mail address: jk.baker@hotmail.com

Circle one: written or oral comments

PUBLIC HEARING ITEM # (3)

CITIZENS WHO WISH TO MAKE WRITTEN COMMENTS SHOULD COMPLETE THE WRITTEN COMMENTS PORTION:

I am opposed to the change proposed in item #3. Our preferred scenario map was developed in conjunction with our comprehensive plan during a time when there was extreme conflict between the citizens in neighborhoods and our government with respect to placement of large apartment complexes. Our community came to an agreement with our government over where these large developments (e.g., high intensity, growth areas) should be placed. This was a "contract" made to decrease considerable conflict. It should only be changed for very good reasons and infrequently at that. I would like to see a supermajority required to approve a change in the preferred scenario and not less than 2-3 times per year. This should be treated like a Constitution. Only changed infrequently with VERY good reason.

Please don't treat us like the original Americans with pale-face treaties!

CITIZENS WHO WISH TO MAKE ORAL COMMENTS:

1. MUST SIGN UP TO SPEAK. ONE MUST SIGN UP TO SPEAK ON THE DAY OF THE MEETING DURING REGULAR BUSINESS HOURS (8:00 A.M -5:00 P.M.) AT THE DEVELOPMENT SERVICES-PLANNING OFFICE OR FROM 5:00 – 5:45 IN COUNCIL CHAMBERS;
2. WILL HAVE ONE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK; AND
3. MUST OBSERVE THE 3-MINUTE TIME LIMIT.

(Inquiries from speakers about matters not listed on the agenda will either be directed to the Staff or placed on a future agenda for Planning Commission consideration.)

OFFICE USE ONLY:
(# in which received)