

## ZC-22-02 (1601 E McCarty, FD to LI) Zoning Change Review by Comp Plan Element

### LAND USE – Preferred Scenario Map / Land Use Intensity Matrix

	YES	NO (map amendment required)
Does the request meet the intent of the Preferred Scenario Map and the Land Use Intensity Matrix?	<b>X – Special Districts are “Not Preferred” in Medium Intensity Zones on the Preferred Scenario Map. These requests do not require a Preferred Scenario Map amendment but do require additional scrutiny.</b>	

### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT – Furthering the goal of the Core 4 through the three strategies

STRATEGY	SUMMARY		Supports	Contradicts	Neutral
Preparing the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Workforce	Provides / Encourages educational opportunities				<b>X</b>
Competitive Infrastructure & Entrepreneurial Regulation	Provides / Encourages land, utilities and infrastructure for business				<b>X</b>
The Community of Choice	Provides / Encourages safe & stable neighborhoods, quality schools, fair wage jobs, community amenities, distinctive identity				<b>X</b>

### ENVIRONMENT & RESOURCE PROTECTION – Land Use Suitability & Development Constraints

	1 (least)	2	3 (moderate)	4	5 (most)
Level of Overall Constraint		<b>100%</b>			
<b>Constraint by Class</b>					
Cultural				<b>100%</b>	
Edwards Aquifer	<b>100%</b>				
Endangered Species	<b>100%</b>				
Floodplains	<b>100%</b>				
Geological	<b>100%</b>				
Slope	<b>100%</b>				
Soils	<b>100%</b>				
Vegetation	<b>100%</b>				
Watersheds	<b>100%</b>				
Water Quality Zone	<b>100%</b>				

**ENVIRONMENT & RESOURCE PROTECTION – Water Quality Model Results**

Located in Subwatershed:	<b>Cottonwood Creek</b>				
	0-25%	25-50%	50-75%	75-100%	100%+
Modeled Impervious Cover Increase Anticipated for Watershed					<b>X</b>
Notes: <b>The 2013 Comprehensive Plan predicted a 342% increase of impervious cover under the Preferred Scenario of development. Although this may seem alarming, the area is primarily rural, undeveloped, and used for agriculture so any increase in impervious cover will seem high compared to the existing amount of 1.8% at the time the Comprehensive Plan was adopted. The predicted increase in impervious cover is attributed to multiple intensity zones located within the watershed.</b>					

**NEIGHBORHOODS – Where is the property located**

CONA Neighborhood(s):	<b>N/A</b>
Neighborhood Commission Area(s):	<b>N/A</b>
Neighborhood Character Study Area(s):	<b>N/A</b>

**PARKS, PUBLIC SPACES AND FACILITIES –Availability of parks and infrastructure**

				YES	NO
Will Parks and / or Open Space be Provided? <b>Parkland dedication requirements do not apply to commercial, industrial, or other non-residential development.</b>					<b>X</b>
Will Trails and / or Green Space Connections be Provided? <b>The Transportation Master Plan proposes a greenway and bike facilities intersecting the subject lot.</b>				<b>X</b>	
<b>Maintenance / Repair Density</b>	Low (maintenance)		Medium		High (maintenance)
Wastewater Infrastructure	<b>X</b>				
Water Infrastructure	<b>X</b>				
<b>Public Facility Availability</b>				YES	NO
Parks / Open Space within ¼ mile (walking distance)?					<b>X</b>
Wastewater service available?				<b>X</b>	
Water service available?				<b>X</b>	

**TRANSPORTATION** – Level of Service (LOS), Access to sidewalks, bicycle lanes & public transportation

	A	B	C	D	E	F
Existing Daily LOS <b>Rattler Rd</b>		<b>X</b>				
Existing Peak LOS <b>Rattler Rd</b>	<b>X</b>					
Preferred Scenario Daily LOS <b>Rattler Rd</b>	<b>X</b>					
Preferred Scenario Peak LOS <b>Rattler Rd</b>	<b>X</b>					
	N/A	Good	Fair	Poor		
Sidewalk Availability		<b>X</b>				
<b>Sidewalks will be required to be constructed at the time of development.</b>						
	YES		NO			
Adjacent to existing bicycle lane?	<b>X</b>					
Adjacent to existing public transportation route?			<b>X</b>			