

## ZC-22-12 (Gas Lamp, SC to CD-3) Zoning Change Review (By Comp Plan Element)

### LAND USE – Preferred Scenario Map / Land Use Intensity Matrix

	YES	NO (map amendment required)
Does the request meet the intent of the Preferred Scenario Map and the Land Use Intensity Matrix?	X	

### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT – Furthering the goal of the Core 4 through the three strategies

STRATEGY	SUMMARY	Supports	Contradicts	Neutral
Preparing the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century Workforce	Provides / Encourages educational opportunities			X
Competitive Infrastructure & Entrepreneurial Regulation	Provides / Encourages land, utilities and infrastructure for business			X
The Community of Choice	Provides / Encourages safe & stable neighborhoods, quality schools, fair wage jobs, community amenities, distinctive identity			X

### ENVIRONMENT & RESOURCE PROTECTION – Land Use Suitability & Development Constraints

	1 (least)	2	3 (moderate)	4	5 (most)
Level of Overall Constraint	71%	18%	11%		
Constraint by Class					
Cultural	100%				
Edwards Aquifer	100%				
Endangered Species	100%				
Floodplains	100%				
Geological	100%				
Slope	100%				
Soils	88%	12%			
Vegetation	100%				
Watersheds	100%				
Water Quality Zone	83%	7%	10%		

**ENVIRONMENT & RESOURCE PROTECTION – Water Quality Model Results**

Located in Subwatershed:	<b>Cottonwood Creek (CC) and York Creek (YC)</b>				
	0-25%	25-50%	50-75%	75-100%	100%+
Modeled Impervious Cover Increase Anticipated for watershed				<b>X (YC)</b>	<b>X (CC)</b>
<p>Notes: <b>CC - The 2013 Comprehensive Plan predicted a 342% increase of impervious cover under the Preferred Scenario of development. Although this may seem alarming, the area is primarily rural, undeveloped, and used for agriculture so any increase in impervious cover will seem high compared to the existing amount of 1.8% at the time the Comprehensive Plan was adopted.</b></p> <p><b>YC - The 2013 Comprehensive Plan predicted a 98% increase of impervious cover under the Preferred Scenario of development. Although this may seem alarming, the area is primarily rural, undeveloped, and used for agriculture so any increase in impervious cover will seem high compared to the existing amount of 1% at the time the Comprehensive Plan was adopted.</b></p>					

**NEIGHBORHOODS – Where is the property located**

CONA Neighborhood(s):	<b>N/A</b>
Neighborhood Commission Area(s):	<b>Sector 5</b>
Neighborhood Character Study Area(s):	<b>N/A</b>

**PARKS, PUBLIC SPACES AND FACILITIES –Availability of parks and infrastructure**

	YES	NO
Will Parks and / or Open Space be Provided?	<b>X</b>	
Will Trails and / or Green Space Connections be Provided?	<b>X</b>	
<b>Maintenance / Repair Density</b>	Low (maintenance)	Medium
Wastewater Infrastructure	<b>X</b>	
Water Infrastructure	<b>X</b>	
Public Facility Availability	YES	NO
Parks / Open Space within ¼ mile (walking distance)?		<b>X</b>
Wastewater service available? <b>Extensions are required, and developer will provide.</b>	<b>X</b>	
Water service available? <b>Extensions are required, and developer will provide.</b>	<b>X</b>	

**TRANSPORTATION** – Level of Service (LOS), Access to sidewalks, bicycle lanes and public transportation

		A	B	C	D	F
Existing Daily LOS	Centerpoint Rd S Old Bastrop Hwy	X X				
Existing Peak LOS	Centerpoint Rd S Old Bastrop Hwy	X X				
Preferred Scenario Daily LOS	Centerpoint Rd S Old Bastrop Hwy	X X				
Preferred Scenario Peak LOS	Centerpoint Rd S Old Bastrop Hwy	X	X			
N/A.						
			N/A	Good	Fair	Poor
Sidewalk Availability			X			
Sidewalks are required to be built as part of the development.						
			YES		NO	
Adjacent to existing bicycle lane?						
Adjacent to existing public transportation route?			X			
Notes: The closest CARTS bus route is Route 5, which is the Texas State/Outlet Malls route.						