## **STREETS LAST LONGER** & COST LESS TO OWN





The tested and proven reliable choice for preserving our roadways



A breakthrough that is changing the aging characteristics of asphalt, and confirmed by a university testing, is igniting enthusiasm for a profound reduction in pavement life-cycle costs.

## RESEARCH PROVES HA5 DELAYS AGE HARDENING DELAY IN ASPHALT AGING



"Transportation Research 2020" Dr. Shakir Shatnawi, P.h.D., P.E. Former State Pavement Engineer and Division Chief at Caltrans with 30 years of experience in pavement design, management, and preservation.

## **CORE SAMPLE COMPARISONS**

Pavement Installed 1999



Type II Slurry







**Pavement Installed** 

1995

The HA5 treatment is 4 years older than the other two treatments, and it still maintained a like new condition many years after application with visible oils keeping the asphalt resistant to oxidative aging from air, water and UV ray.





## **AIR & WATER PERMEABILITY COMPARISON**



Research on binder elasticity and pavement permeability proves that asphalt treated with HA5 significantly increases the useful life of asphalt pavement and therefore dramatically lowers the cost of transportation infrastructure.

Primary Treatment Strategy	Avg PCI	(Subdivision Public Acceptance Rating) PAR	\$/Centerline Mile*	Cost of Ownership Savings
1. Do Nothing	70	4	\$5,642,846.54	0%
2. Partial Recon/ Surface removal	73	4	\$3,612,576.63	36%
3. Mill & Overlay	76	6	\$3,099,706.96	45%
4. Thin Overlay	75	6	\$2,961,391.49	48%
5. Seal Coat/Mastic Sealer	79	7	\$2,639,047.53	53%
6. FOG/Rejuvenator	81	7	\$2,590,647.40	54%
7. Type II Slurry	86	4	\$1,281,249.01	77%
8. Micro Surface	80	5	\$1,247,331.18	78%
9. Chip Seal	80	2	\$1,115,431.75	80%
10. High Density Mineral Bond (HA5)	88	9	\$954,838.49	83%

- Highest Return on Investment (ROI)
- Highest Pavement Condition Index (PCI)
- Highest Public Acceptance Rating (PAR)

Every agency's design specifications and goals are different but HA5 has proven its effectiveness at extending design life no matter what your goals look like.

![](_page_2_Picture_9.jpeg)

Data analysis provided by Scot Gordon, PE, IAM, President, Roadway Asset Services, LLC. Scot has a Bachelor's and Master's degree in civil engineering from Texas A&M University with 30 years experience involving design of major highway infrastructure, evaluation and research of pavements, soil stabilization, and pavement management plan development.

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

Wheeling, IL

![](_page_3_Picture_2.jpeg)

Spartanburg, SC

![](_page_3_Picture_4.jpeg)

Rocklin City, CA

![](_page_3_Picture_6.jpeg)

Pleasant Grove, UT

![](_page_3_Picture_8.jpeg)

Kent Nobis Integrated Pavement Solutions (IPS) 214-935-2042

214-935-2042 kent@preserveasphalt.com

![](_page_3_Picture_11.jpeg)

Wesley Chapel, FL

![](_page_3_Picture_13.jpeg)

Sedgwick Co, KS

![](_page_3_Picture_15.jpeg)

Nashville, TN

![](_page_3_Picture_17.jpeg)

Las Vegas, NV - Red Rock

![](_page_3_Picture_19.jpeg)

**Commercial Complex** 

![](_page_3_Picture_21.jpeg)

St George, UT

![](_page_3_Picture_23.jpeg)

Scottsdale, AZ

![](_page_3_Picture_25.jpeg)

Mathews, NC

![](_page_3_Picture_27.jpeg)

Dallas, TX

![](_page_3_Picture_29.jpeg)

Alabama DOT