



# City of San Marcos

630 East Hopkins  
San Marcos, TX 78666

## Meeting Minutes City Council

---

Tuesday, May 5, 2026

6:00 PM

City Council Chambers

---

630 E. Hopkins St.

<http://sanmarcostx.gov/421/City-Council-Videos-Archives> or watch on Grande channel  
16 or Spectrum channel 10.

### I. Call To Order

**With a quorum present, the Regular meeting of the San Marcos City Council was called to order by Mayor Hughson on Tuesday May 5, 2026 at 6:02 p.m. The meeting was held both in person and online.**

### II. Roll Call

**Council Member Scott arrived at 3:39 p.m. and Council Member Mendoza arrived at 4:31 p.m.**

**Present:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Shane Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Alyssa Garza, Council Member Amanda Rodriguez, Mayor Jane Hughson, Council Member Lorenzo Gonzalez, Council Member Matthew Mendoza and Council Member Josh Paselk

### III. Invocation

**Chaplain Mike Hollifield, with San Marcos Police Department provided this evening's invocation.**

### IV. Pledges of Allegiance - United States and Texas

### V. Citizen Comment Period

**Rosa Aponte urged cleanup of debris, vegetation, and fallen trees around the Yulin/Uhlen bridge, noting the area has not been maintained since the 2015 flood and warning it could worsen future flooding.**

**Virginia Parker (San Marcos River Foundation) commented on development code amendments, asking Council to keep Planning and Zoning Commission review of watershed protection plans, ensure fee-in-lieu parkland funds are used for land acquisition, and remove provisions allowing impervious cover to exceed in sensitive environmental areas.**

**Jean Baggett (Downtown Association) supported creating four downtown police-only parking spaces, stating downtown patrol officers have improved**

safety and need reliable parking.

Randall Terrell opposed water agreements with Kyle, citing Stage 3 drought conditions and urging stronger safeguards, automatic cutoffs, and requirements for Kyle to address long-term water supply.

Sam Young encouraged continued collaboration with Texas State University, cautioned against narrowly written land development code amendments, and advised careful use of tax abatements.

Rodrigo Amaya raised concerns about transparency in park-related revenues and expenditures, questioned the use of outside policing consultants, and commented on parking and policing issues downtown.

Zachary Tyndall urged stricter treatment of power plants and large generator systems by limiting them to heavy industrial districts with conditional use permits. He supported reducing sidewalk cleaning requirements to 50 feet and raised concerns about parking reductions.

Gena Fleming commented on the Kyle water agreements and development code, stating water sales should not support high-use developments like data centers. She requested stronger water-supply analysis, decommissioning requirements, and clarified conditional use permit rules.

Rachel Wolbrueck opposed continued emergency water supply to Kyle during drought and urged closer scrutiny of data centers due to water use, limited job creation, and environmental risks.

Laura Benavides thanked the City for the UniverCity program and suggested posting materials online. She commented on issues involving the Great Springs Project, a notice-of-violation appeal, and encouraged prioritizing people and planet before profit.

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk to approve items 1-2 and 6-15. Items #3, 4, 5 was pulled and considered separately after the public hearings.

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

1. Consider approval by motion, of the following meeting minutes:
  - A. March 31, 2026 - Work Session Meeting Minutes
  - B. March 31, 2026 - Regular Meeting Minutes
  - C. April 7, 2026 - Work Session Meeting Minutes
  - D. April 7, 2026 - Regular Meeting Minutes
2. Consider approval of Ordinance 2026-14, on the second of two readings, annexing into the City approximately 38.45 acres of City-owned land, generally located north of the Blanco River and west of the intersection of Post Road and Old Stagecoach Road (Case No. AN-26-02), in Hays County, Texas, known as Five Mile Dam Park; including procedural provisions; and declaring an effective date.
6. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-65R, approving the installation of a large scale mural on the public basketball court at City Park along the San Marcos River in an amount not to exceed \$50,000.00; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute such documents as necessary to implement the project on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
7. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-66R, approving the replacement and redesign of the Sundial Compass art piece at Prospect Park in Purgatory Creek Natural Area for an amount not to exceed \$35,000.00; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute such documents as necessary to implement this project; and declaring an effective date.
8. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-67R, approving an Advanced Funding Agreement with the State of Texas, acting through the Texas Department of Transportation for a Transportation Alternatives Set-Aside Project in the amount \$2,343,000.00 for the Cape Street Reconstruction and Shared Use Path Project; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute said Agreement on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
9. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-68R, approving a Change in Service to the agreement with Alan Plummer Associates, Inc. to update and recalibrate the City's potable water system model to improve accuracy and support future growth in the City's water system in the amount of \$300,000.00; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the Change in Service on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
10. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-69R, approving a release of an Electric Easement within the Municipal Airport subdivision near the intersection of Cottonwood Parkway and Interstate Highway 35, such easement being no longer necessary due to facilities already available within another easement on the property; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the release of easement on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
11. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-70R, approving a contract with H.W. Lochner, Inc. to provide On-Call General Engineering Services for various projects in the amount of \$500,000.00; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the contract on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
12. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-71R, approving an agreement with Fortress Elevator, LLC, through the Texas Interlocal Purchasing System Cooperative, for materials and installation services to upgrade the elevator located in the City Hall Complex, Building 3 at 630

East Hopkins Street, in the estimated amount of \$124,918.40; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the agreement on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.

13. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-72R, approving the purchase of a 2026 Vacuum Truck from Houston Freightliner, through the Houston-Galveston Area Council purchasing cooperative, for the maintenance of the City's water meters in the amount of \$399,320.00; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute documents necessary to complete the purchase on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
14. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-73R, approving a License Agreement with SA Street LLC., to allow a portion of a covered patio to be located within a Public Utility Easement, located at 411 West San Antonio Street, Building 2; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the agreement on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
15. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-74R, adopting rules of procedure for the Impact Fee Advisory Committee (aka Capital Improvements Advisory Committee) under Section 395.058 of the Texas Local Government Code; and declaring an effective date.

## **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

16. Receive a Staff presentation and hold a public hearing to receive comments for or against Resolution 2026-62R, approving the North of Campus Neighborhood Area Plan, including a focus on historic and cultural character, public amenities, streetscape and connectivity enhancements, and housing; and declaring an effective date; and consider approval of Resolution 2026-62R.

**City Planner Craig Garrison presented the North of Campus Neighborhood Area Plan and advised Council that amendments previously requested by Council had been incorporated.**

**Mayor Hughson opened the public hearing at 6:35 PM**

**There being no speakers, Mayor Hughson closed the public hearing at 6:35 p.m.**

**A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez, to approve Resolution 2026-62R. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

17. Receive a Staff presentation and hold a public hearing to receive comments for or against Ordinance 2026-19, annexing into the city approximately 1.45 acres of land located at 1904 Old Ranch Road 12 (Case No. AN-26-01), in Hays County, Texas; including procedural provisions; and declaring an effective date; and consider approval of Ordinance 2026-19, on the first of two readings.

**Director of Planning and Development Services Terry Floyd presented the annexation request for the Crestwood Center property at 1904 Old Ranch Road 12. He explained that the property sought annexation in order to connect to City wastewater service and that City water and electric service were already in place.**

**Mayor Hughson opened the public hearing at 6:37 PM**

**There being no speakers, Mayor Hughson closed the public hearing at 6:38 p.m.**

**A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk to approve Ordinance 2026-19 on first reading of two readings. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

- 18.** Receive a Staff presentation and hold a public hearing to receive comments for or against Ordinance 2026-20, amending the Official Zoning Map of the City in Case No. ZC-26-02, by rezoning approximately 1.45 acres of land, located at 1904 Old Ranch Road 12, from Future Development District (FD) to Commercial District (CM) or, subject to the consent of the owner, another less intense zoning district classification, including procedural provisions; and declaring an effective date, and consider approval of Ordinance 2026-20 on the first of two readings.

**Director of Planning and Development Services Terry Floyd presented the related rezoning request from Future Development to Commercial for the same parcel. He said the existing uses at Crestwood Center were commercial in nature and that commercial zoning was appropriate. He also noted the property lays within the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone and discussed how any future redevelopment would remain subject to related standards.**

**Mayor Hughson opened the public hearing at 6:44 PM**

**There being no speakers, Mayor Hughson closed the public hearing at 6:44 p.m.**

**A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez to approve Ordinance 2026-20 on first reading of two readings. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

19. Receive a Staff presentation and hold a public hearing to receive comments for or against Ordinance 2026-21, amending the Official Zoning Map of the City in Case No. ZC-26-01, by rezoning approximately 0.60 acres of land located at 1005 and 1007 Columbia Avenue and 1401 Marlton Street from Single Family-6 (SF-6) District to Single Family-4.5 (SF-4.5) District, or, subject to consent of the owner, another less intense zoning district classification, including procedural provisions; and providing an effective date; and consider approval of Ordinance 2026-21 on the first of two readings.

**Director of Planning and Development Services Terry Floyd presented the rezoning request for vacant property at Columbia Avenue and Marlton Street. He explained that the applicant wanted to redevelop the property with single-family homes and that SF-4.5 zoning would allow smaller setbacks than SF-6, helping address topography and grading constraints while remaining consistent with the surrounding area and the comprehensive plan.**

**Mayor Hughson open the public hearing at 6:50 PM**

**Those who spoke:**

**Lindsey Oskoui of Klinefelter and Associates, representing the property owner, spoke in favor of the request. She noted that a concurrent plat application was pending and that the three subject properties, along with an adjacent vacated right-of-way, were intended to be re subdivided under the proposed zoning.**

**There being no speakers, Mayor Hughson closed the public hearing at 6:51 p.m.**

**Mayor Hughson asked how many lots would result from the re-plat, and staff responded that the plan would increase from three to four lots.**

**Motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk to approve Ordinance 2026-21 on first reading of two readings. The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 6 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**Absent:** 1 - Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza

3. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-12R, approving a Facility Lease Agreement with

Community Action, Inc. of Central Texas, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, by which the city leases the San Marcos Senior Citizens Center, located at 810 Arizona Street, to Community Action, Inc. of Central Texas; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute said Agreement; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Council Member Mendoza to approve Resolution 2026-12R.**

**MOTION TO AMEND: A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez to amend the end of Section 3 of the lease by inserting "Additional terms include permissions for the use of the facility by city personnel for meeting space if scheduled in advance."**

**The motion to amend carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**MAIN MOTION: to approve Resolution 2025-12R, as amended.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

4. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-56R, approving an Emergency Water Supply Agreement for the sale of treated water to the City of Kyle, subject to applicable drought restrictions; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the agreement on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Paselk to approve Resolution 2026-56R.**

**Mayor Pro Tem Scott raised concerns about the wholesale water rate (\$6.42 per thousand gallons), suggesting it seemed low and questioned total revenue from the agreement. Assistant Director of Utilities Paul Kite confirmed the rate is the city wholesale rate updated annually by the Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority (GBRA). Since the agreement started, revenue is approximately \$152,000.00. He explained the emergency nature of the agreement with Kyle and that Kyle only uses the water during emergencies.**

**City of Kyle Assistant Director of Utilities Tim Sanford explained Kyle's drought restrictions currently exceed San Marcos' and talked about water conservation enforcement. He stated City of Kyle only uses the emergency interconnect in genuine emergencies.**

**Assistant City Manager Joe Pantalione spoke on termination and "out clause" conditions under default and water shortage events. He confirmed San Marcos can refuse water supply if shortages occur and also termination requires written notice.**

**MOTION TO AMEND: A motion made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez to amend Section 3.01 by reducing the agreement's original 10-year term, which included two 5-year extensions, to an initial 2-year term with two optional 2-year extensions totaling up to 6 years.**

**The section will read as follows:**

**"The initial term of this agreement is 2 years, with two 2-year extensions commencing on the effective date."**

**Council Member Rodriguez encouraged shortening the term due to uncertainties surrounding City of Kyle drought contingency plan, which was still being updated. She emphasized the importance of ensuring that drought restriction stages align between both cities.**

**Mr. Sanford provided additional information on City of Kyle's drought restrictions and enforcement practices, noting that City of Kyle's conservation measures generally match or exceed those of San Marcos and that the interconnect is used strictly for emergency purposes.**

**Council members expressed concern about differences in drought stage definitions and the need for more clarity about City of Kyle's compliance. They requested the updated drought contingency plan from Kyle, which is expected to be available in July.**

**A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Scott to table this item until later in the evening to allow staff time to review the agreement and prepare responses**

**The motion to table carried by the following vote:**

**For: 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk**

**Against: 0**

- 5. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-57R, approving an agreement providing for the city to sell a portion of the city's groundwater rights on a temporary basis to the City of Kyle, subject to applicable drought restrictions; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the agreement on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.**

**A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Scott to**

**approve Resolution 2026-57R.**

**Council discussed how the transferred rights could be used by City of Kyle, whether the arrangement would continue to be needed, and how City of Kyle's future water infrastructure projects could reduce that need.**

**City of Kyle Utilities Assistant Director Tim Sandford stated the lease helped City of Kyle address conveyance limitations until major capital projects and additional supplies came online, likely around 2028.**

**Council expressed interest in reviewing Kyle's updated drought contingency plan before acting.**

**MOTION TO POSTPONE: A motion was made by Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza and seconded by Mayor Hughson, to postpone Resolution 2026-57R until July 7, 2026, pending completion of the City of Kyle's Water Conservation and Drought Contingency Plan.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 6 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 1 - Council Member Gonzalez

## **NON-CONSENT AGENDA**

- 20.** Consider approval of Ordinance 2026-22, on the first of two readings, amending the City's 2025-2026 Fiscal Year Budget to adjust revenue and expenditure accounts in multiple operating, special revenue, and enterprise funds; including procedural provisions; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk to approve Ordinance 2026-22 on first of two readings.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

- 21.** Consider approval of Ordinance 2026-23, on the first of two readings, designating four parking spaces for San Marcos Police parking only and designating such spaces as no parking tow-away zones, as authorized in Section 82.161 of the San Marcos City Code, to improve police accessibility and response times, and to enhance downtown security operations during peak activity hours from 5:00 P.M. to 3:00 A.M. daily; including procedural provisions; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Mendoza to approve Ordinance 2026-23 on the first of two readings.**

**Council Member Gonzalez expressed concern that limited police-use hours and added signage could confuse drivers, suggesting the spaces be designated 24/7 if approved. Council Member Rodriguez did not support extending the restriction, noting it would further reduce public parking. Council Member Paselk recommended continuing the current approach and adjusting later if necessary.**

**Staff reported that during the temporary authorization period (Dec. 15–Mar. 26) there were 3 citations, 1 warning, and 10 vehicle tows. Public Works Director Sabas Avila said the spaces could be visually distinguished with unique striping. Assistant Director of Public Works Pete Binion stated there are currently permanent metal signs attached to the poles with the San Marcos Police Department non-emergency phone number. Downtown Manager Josie Falletta reported no business complaints and positive feedback regarding increased police presence in the evenings.**

**City Marshal Tiffany Williams noted that towing was used only when necessary and that signage met required standards.**

**Staff also clarified that the temporary designation had been authorized by the traffic engineer and police chief, and the permanent designation required Council action.**

**Council supported the item with direction to staff to enhance communication and visual differentiation.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

- 22.** Consider approval of Ordinance 2026-08, on the first of two readings, amending the City's Development Code and associated design manual to, among other things, update applicability and processes for certain development permits; update provisions concerning parkland dedication requirements; establish an optional Development Overlay Regulating Plan to accompany zoning change requests; provide standards for new and emerging development types within the Land Use Matrix such as Data Centers; update and clarify landscaping and tree mitigation requirements for new development; correct typos and inconsistencies, and update the code to reflect recent changes to state law; providing a savings clause; providing for the repeal of any conflicting provisions; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Paselk to approve Ordinance 2026-08 on the first of two readings.**

Planning Manager David Soto stated that he did not have a formal presentation for this item. He noted that the meeting packet included:

- A memo outlining the five staff-initiated amendments.
- Amendments previously made by the City Council during the last public meeting.
- Discussion items related to the proposed definition for “data center.”
- Discussion regarding a potential supermajority requirement for amendments within the preferred scenario.

Staff recommended approval of the Land Development Code and Design Manual amendments.

**Staff Recommendation #1 MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Paselk to amend the Development Code Section 5.1.5.5: Sidewalk Cleaning Distance for Bars and Bar Restaurants, (B)(7) which currently reads "The business shall be responsible for maintaining the sidewalk, gutters parking lot, all areas within 50 feet of any exit, in a clean and sanitary conditions, free from litter and refuse at all times., by striking the words "sidewalk, gutters, parking lot, all areas" and "50" and inserting the words "subject property and the abutting right of way, excluding the public street or alley pavement", "and entrance" and "The maintenance responsibilities shall not overlap another alcohol CUP holder's maintenance area"

The new section will read:

**(B)(7)** The business shall be responsible for maintaining the subject property and the abutting right-of-way, excluding the public street or alley pavement within 50 feet of any exit and entrance, in a clean and sanitary conditions, free from litter and refuse at all time. The maintenance responsibilities shall not overlap another alcohol CUP holder's maintenance area"

The motion to amend carried by the following vote:

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**Staff Recommendation #2 MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Mendoza, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Scott to amend the Development Code Section 5.1.5.5: Sidewalk Cleaning Distance for Bars and Bar-Restaurants, (E)(2c) which currently reads "The business shall be responsible for maintaining the sidewalk, gutters parking lot, all areas within 50 feet of any exit, in a clean and sanitary conditions, free from litter and refuse at all times." by striking the words "sidewalk, gutters, parking lot, all

**areas" and inserting the words "subject property and the abutting right-of-way, excluding the public street or alley pavement", "and entrance" and "The maintenance responsibilities shall not overlap another alcohol CUP holder's maintenance area"**

**The new section will read:**

**(E)(2c) The business shall be responsible for maintaining the subject property and the abutting right-of-way, excluding the public street or alley pavement within 50 feet of any exit and entrance, in a clean and sanitary conditions, free from litter and refuse at all time. The maintenance responsibilities shall not overlap another alcohol CUP holder's maintenance area"**

**The motion to amend carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**Staff Recommendation #3 MOTION TO AMEND: A motion was made by Council Member Gonzalez, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez to deny the recommended prohibition of a food truck to be used to meet the definition of a "Restaurant" within the Downtown Central Business Area (CBA).**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**Staff Recommendation #4 MOTION TO AMEND: A motion was made by Council Member Mendoza, seconded by Council Member Scott to approve the prohibition of a bar truck from being used to meet the definition of a "Bar" within the Downtown Central Business Area (CBA).**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 4 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 3 - Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez and Council Member Gonzalez

**MOTION TO RECONSIDER: A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Council Member Mendoza to reconsider staff amendment #3-Revise the Development Code to prohibit a food truck from being used to meet the definition of a "Restaurant" within the Downtown Central Business Area (CBA)**

**The motion to reconsider failed by the following vote:**

**For:** 3 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Mayor Hughson and Council Member Mendoza

**Against:** 4 - Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Council Member Gonzalez and Council Member Paselk

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk to revise Section 2.2.4.2, relating to review authority and additional votes. The revisions do not change the substance of the original Draft Development Code language and seeks to rearrange text to provide clarity and avoid duplication.

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

Mayor Hughson asked whether the next item was the Planning and Zoning Commission (P&Z) recommendations. Assistant Director of Planning Villalobos stated that the P&Z recommendations were already incorporated into the presented material, and no action was required unless Council wished to modify them.

The Mayor requested that future packets include a fully marked-up Land Development Code showing all Council-approved amendments to date. Public Works and Legal staff confirmed they would provide a consolidated, color-coded version indicating all amendments approved on first reading, including those carried over from previous meetings.

Council referenced memo page numbers and requested clarity on where specific amendments appear in the agenda materials. Staff agreed to include a comprehensive markup and table of changes in the next meeting packet.

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Paselk, seconded by Mayor Hughson to insert into Section 5.1.7.10 Data Center A. Defined "This definition is intended for a Data Center that is the principal and primary use of the lot or building", after the word "Defined" listed in the memo titled Latest amendments from the April 21, 2026 City Council on Proposed San Marcos Land Development Code Amendments, dated May 1, 2026 – section entitled "City Council Direction."

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 6 - Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**Absent:** 1 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Paselk, seconded by Council Member Mendoza, to insert into Section 5.1.7.10 Data Center A. Defined " A Data Center shall provide services primarily to off-site users. Facilities providing services on-site are not considered a Data Center if the use occupies less than 10 percent of the development's total gross floor area." after the word Defined listed in the memo titled Latest amendments from the April 21, 2026 City Council on Proposed San Marcos Land Development Code Amendments , dated May 1, 2026 – section entitled "City Council Direction."

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 6 - Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**Absent:** 1 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Council Member Mendoza to amend 2.4.2.3 Waiting Periods for Comprehensive Plan Map Amendments 1 a. by deleting the word "A" and "vote to deny" and inserting the words "Passage by" and in b. adding the words "at any time" and "first"

**1. The amended section will read:**

A request to change the Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment shall not be considered by the Planning and Zoning Commission or the City Council within one year of any of the following:

**a. Passage by the City Council of a motion to deny the same requested change for all or any portion of the parcel;**

**b. Withdrawal of the same requested change by the applicant at any time after the first City Council meeting at which the change is to be considered has been called to order."**

**The motion to amend carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made Mayor Hughson, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez, to amend Section 4.4.3.8 Planning Area District to strike through the language under a. "Buildable Land Identification of buildable unconstrained land including any land area classified as 1, 2, 3 or 4 on the City's Land Use Suitability Map."

New section will read as follows:

**% Allocation.** Percent allocation refers to the percent of each zoning district(s) that is allowed to be allocated on the property. All floodway and water quality zones must be dedicated as CD-1 and may count towards the required allocation percentages in Table 4.16.

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 6 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez and Council Member Mendoza

**Against:** 0

**Absent:** 1 - Council Member Paselk

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Council Member Mendoza, to accept the deletions staff recommends under Section 4.4.3.9 Regulating Plan by striking the entire passage under C. Additional Developments Standards and inserting the words Parkland Requirements. See Chapter 3, Article 10, Parks and Open Space.

The motion carried by the following vote:

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Council Member Mendoza, to amend Table 5.1 Land Use Matrix to allow the use of Microbrewery as "Conditional" in Business Park (BP) zoning.

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Garza to amend Chapter 5 Agricultural Uses, Table 5.1, Land Use Matrix by expanding where the use of Community Gardens, Urban Farms, and Plant Nursery are permitted.

- **Allow Community Garden as permitted (P) in all zoning districts**
- **Allow Urban Farms with a Conditional Use Permit “C” under Business Park (BP) and permitted (P) in Heavy Industrial (HI)**
- **Allowing Plant Nursery with a Conditional Use Permit “C” under Neighborhood Density District -4 (ND-4) and limited (L) in Character District -4 (CD-4)**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Garza, to strike through (1) Electric or gas generation plant under Section 5.1.6.4 Utilities, B. Major Utilities Use Category. Insert a new category titled Electric or Gas Power Generation and a new use in the Land Use Matrix - Heavy Industrial (HI) C\*.

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 6 - Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 1 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott

**Council Member Rodriguez addressed the situation when the Planning and Zoning Commission makes a decision on an alcohol CUP and the applicant appeals the decision or one or more of the conditions. She referenced what happened with Tantra and the condition on noise included by the Planning and Zoning Commission. In that case, Tantra received their CUP but appealed the condition regarding noise.**

**The next step is a decision by the City Council that currently follows the state requirement of a supermajority vote required. She feels that it should be a majority. She noted the power of these decisions and their effect on a business’s ability to operate. Staff noted the section is 2.8.3.6 D and Council Member Rodriguez will propose an amendment on second reading. Section 2.4.4.2 Review Authority A Super-Majority Vote is a related section. City Attorney Aguirre inquired if this is the only case in which the supermajority would be altered. Council Member Rodriguez said she would review the others.**

Mayor Hughson noted that the Development Code item on the message board was currently closed after the last meeting where it was discussed and asked that it be reopened so all new proposed amendments can be posted there. Staff agreed to do so.

Council Member Rodriguez inquired about parkland fee-in-lieu funds and how they can be used. This is found in Section 3.10.1.5 B. This resolved the question.

Council Member Rodriguez inquired about uses available in the Business Park District and proposed possible removal of it. She may bring this back next time.

Council Member Rodriguez next addressed text in staff recommendation #4-6 describing the Neighborhood Density District ND-3 and encouraging affordability for ownership. Staff stated that the purpose was to make this description the same as found in another section in the code. She will bring back an amendment at the next meeting.

Council Member Rodriguez expressed concern about the CD-5D district and applicability in other parts of our city, including the east side. Ms. Villalobos explained the “D” is for “Downtown” and the design manual includes a historic look. Their work in the East Village area may mean that a similar zoning district may be needed.

Council Member Rodriguez next addressed the lot widths in CD-2.5 and the possibility of allowing townhomes and cottage courts. She provided an example that Cuevas Produce would not be allowed in CD-2.5 and noted the importance of that business in the neighborhood. Ms. Villalobos stated that this could align with the Comprehensive Plan. She explained the reason for the CD-2.5 district and what is allowed there. If this change were made, the difference in CD-2 and CD-3 would be a large jump. Mayor Hughson noted the Neighborhood Commercial District. Ms. Villalobos noted that CD-2 is just for large lots, maybe agricultural uses; CD-2.5 allows for a house, duplex, civic, or Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU). CD-3 allows for house, cottage court, duplex, zero-lot line, townhouse, civic building, and ADU.

Council Member Rodriguez will review and perhaps bring back a proposed amendment at the next reading.

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez,

seconded by Council Member Garza, in Section 4.4.3.3 (Character District 2.5) and the Land Use Matrix in Table 5.1. to revise the CD 2.5 zoning district to permit the "Townhouse" and "Cottage Court" building types and land uses. The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 5 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Council Member Gonzalez and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 2 - Mayor Hughson and Council Member Mendoza

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk, to amend Section 5.1.4.6 (B)(2), Small Multifamily, 5.1.4.7(B)(2), and Courtyard Housing, 5.1.4.8(B)(2) Multifamily which currently reads "No more than three bedrooms are permitted to be constructed per unit.", by striking "three" and inserting "four".

The new section will read:

**(B)(2) No more than four bedrooms are permitted to be constructed per unit. Mr. Gonzales noted the increase in the number of bedrooms per structure. Mayor Hughson and Council Member Mendoza expressed concerns about allowing more bedrooms and not addressing parking. Ms. Villalobos noted that our parking requirement is one or 1.05 space per unit but the builder can add more.**

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 5 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Council Member Gonzalez and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 2 - Mayor Hughson and Council Member Mendoza

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk, to amend Section 5.1.5.1 Professional Office Use Category (B) Use Standards by striking "1. Must be located on the first floor or in a one story building at the intersection of 2 public streets; 2. The building or unit cannot exceed 4,000 square feet; and"

The new section will include only the remaining item 3:

**Hours of operation can begin no earlier than 6 AM and end not later than 11 PM, including all deliveries. Ms. Villalobos noted that staff had similar comments about other sections and will offer these for the next reading. Ms. Garza had concerns about item 3: 3. Hours of operation can begin no earlier than 6AM and end not later than 11PM, including all deliveries. She will review and perhaps address this at the next meeting.**

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**Council discussed separating power plants and large backup generators from the general category of major utilities**

- Creation of a separate land use category restricting power plants to Heavy Industrial with Council-Approved Conditional Use Permit (CUP).**
- Staff and utilities management committed to coordinating with partners to refine definitions and regulations.**
- Council consensus was to authorize staff to draft these changes for final reading.**

**Council Member Rodriguez next addressed Section 5.1.5.9 – Special Event Facility item A**

**A. Defined. A facility or hall available for special events with indoor and[suggested addition of “/or”] outdoor space that accommodates private functions such as weddings, quinceaneras, and similar celebrations. She stated that this appears to address a place called “Private Park.” Mayor Hughson noted that this new section was created to address an outdoor facility in San Marcos and was to ensure that the Special Event Facility did not turn into an unregulated bar. She stated we need to review to ensure there is not an unintended consequence that is affecting Private Park. Ms. Villalobos stated this section is used to determine the type of use for a facility either indoors or outdoors. She stated that council can determine the difference for indoor and outdoor facilities. Council Member Rodriguez again inquired about applicability for “Private Park.” Mayor Hughson noted that Special Event Facility definition includes minimum parcel size of 5 acres. Staff will provide information prior to the next meeting.**

**Council Member Rodriguez made a motion to not accept the staff recommendation 2-21, Article 5 Watershed Protection Plans Section 2.6.1.1 Purpose, Applicability, Exceptions and Effect, that removes the designation of a qualified watershed protection plan and that it would no longer go on a Planning and Zoning Commission agenda for review. Council Member Paselk seconded the motion. It does not require a vote now; it is just for information, however it delays the development process by up to six weeks. The standard Watershed Protection Plans are still required.**

**Council Member Rodriguez noted concern that the plan would not be**

available to the public. Ms. Villalobos stated that the information can be made available to the public without being on a Planning and Zoning Commission agenda. Council Member Rodriguez decided to address this one at the next meeting.

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk, to amend Section 6.2.3.5 (A)(1) Water Quality and Buffer Zone Mitigation Allowances by inserting "limited to the percentages shown in Table 6.3" at the end of the section.

New section will read:

Impervious cover limitations may be exceeded in a buffer zone for land with a gradient of less than 15 percent outside the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and all slopes within the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone limited to the percentages shown in Table 6.3.

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**MOTION TO AMEND:** A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Garza, to amend Section 7.1.1.3 Maximum Parking (B) Applicability by striking "150%" and inserting "130%".

New section will read:

Except for single- and two-unit living or for uses providing 10 or fewer surface parking spaces, when the surface parking provided to serve a use exceeds 130% of the required parking ratios as specified in Section 7.1.2.1, one or more of the following mitigation requirements must be provided.

The motion carried by the following vote:

**For:** 6 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 1 - Council Member Mendoza

Council Member Rodriguez next introduced a discussion on having a fiscal impact study or a development cost mode for everything. And to streamline the development process/timeline for developments that are positive. Ms. Villalobos noted the desire for fiscal sustainability and discussions with the

**Comprehensive Plan Oversight Committee. They recently suggested an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan which council incorporated into the Strategic Plan. Staff is working through the best ways to achieve this. Council Member Rodriquez looks forward to the results of this work. City Manager Reyes noted that some of this can be addressed in the Economic Incentive Policy.**

**Council Member Rodriquez discussed a bill that passed in the last Texas Legislature, SB-2835 for single-stair apartment buildings. This allows buildings to have single stairways if not more than six stories with 4 units per floor. This reduces construction costs which promotes affordability.**

**Mr. Floyd noted discussions with the Fire Marshal and Chief Building Inspector on this topic. There are no projects that have proposed this yet. He noted this would be applicable to the building code rather than the development code. More information to come on this regarding life safety issues.**

**Council Member Rodriquez also noted that the City of Austin adopted a Water Mandatory Onsite Water Reuse System which requires new commercial, multi-family, and mixed-use developments with 250,000 sq ft or more of gross floor area must collect and treat alternative water (rainwater, condensate, graywater, etc.) for non-potable uses such as toilet flushing irrigation and cooling towers.**

**She would like to do the same except reduce the square footage to be 50,000 or 100,000 sq ft. Also included are rebates for single family homes for various activities.**

**Mr. Floyd stated that reuse in a building is now allowed, such as using condensate for beneficial use. This is also a building code issue. In addition, the cost of these methods should be considered.**

**Mayor Hughson noted a recent conversation with Mr. Pantalion regarding reuse water and if we are encouraging that use when developments start their conversations with us. Mr. Floyd stated this is offered now. He has also had similar conversations with Mr. Ramirez regarding economic development. Ms. Reyes noted the cost of some of these systems that is passed on but we will continue those conversations. Mayor Hughson noted that council has a Sustainability committee that is not active at this time.**

**Mayor Hughson noted that the changes proposed by staff, unless changed by**

**amendment at last meeting or this meeting, will be approved by a motion to approve on first reading and that there can be amendments at the second reading. She then asked staff when they would be ready for second reading since there are some items still under discussion. Mr. Villalobos stated that they could be ready by the first meeting in June.**

**Council Member Gonzalez inquired about possibly having a special meeting to finalize this outside of a regular meeting. Mayor Hughson noted that we have four special meetings for appointee evaluation and one regarding filling the municipal court judge vacancy, plus the budget workshop meeting this month and next. Council decided to complete the second reading at the June 2 meeting.**

**MAIN MOTION AS AMENDED:**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

- 23.** Consider approval of Resolution 2026-75R, approving a settlement agreement with Pecos Housing Finance Corporation under which the corporation's multifamily apartments in San Marcos, Kyle and Hays County will to be subject to ad valorem property taxes; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the settlement agreement on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Council Member Mendoza, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez to approve Resolution 2026-75R**

**Council considered a settlement agreement to keep certain multifamily properties on the tax rolls. Mayor Hughson proposed an amendment adding the apartment names and addresses to the caption for clarity, noting that apartment names can change over time and the record should remain identifiable. Staff agreed to do this.**

**Staff stated the agreement would allow the City to count on tax revenue from the properties and estimated the value to San Marcos at more than \$200,000.00 annually in retained tax roll value.**

**MOTION TO AMEND: A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Council Member Mendoza to add: "Known as The Grand at Stone Creek, located at 490 Barnes Drive" to the caption on Resolution 2026-75R.**

**The motion to amend carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**MAIN MOTION: to approve Resolution 2026-75R.****The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

4. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-56R, approving an Emergency Water Supply Agreement for the sale of treated water to the City of Kyle, subject to applicable drought restrictions; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the agreement on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.

**City staff and City of Kyle Utilities Assistant Director Tim Sandford stated the interconnect had been used infrequently and that Kyle was revising its drought contingency plan. Staff also explained that San Marcos retained the ability to stop providing water if shortages existed and was not obligated to seek additional supply to serve Kyle.**

**Council discussed whether the agreement should be shortened rather than approved for 10 years with two 5-year extensions.**

**During discussion, Utilities Assistant Director Paul Kite confirmed the prior agreement had expired on November 17, 2025, and that water had been supplied once during the lapse period.**

**MOTION TO AMEND: A motion was made by Mayor Hughson, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Scott to amend Resolution 2026-56R to provide for a one-year term with one additional one-year renewal, effective retroactively to November 17, 2025.**

**The motion to amend carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 6 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 1 - Council Member Gonzalez

**MAIN MOTION: to approve Resolution 2026-56R(b) as amended.****The motion as amended carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 6 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 1 - Council Member Gonzalez

- 24.** Consider approval of Resolution 2026-76R, amending the City's adopted Schedule of Fees by adding new fees for temporary vendors in public parking spaces and for variances from the distance requirements for conditional use permits related to the sale of alcohol for on premises consumption near a school; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Council Member Paselk to approve Resolution 2026-76R.**

**Council discussed the proposed new fee schedule.**

**Mayor Hughson asked whether the \$50 temporary vendor parking-space fee applied per day and whether repeated requests would be limited.**

**Director of Administrative Services Hayden Migl said the fee would apply for an eight-hour day and that repeated, problematic requests would not necessarily be approved. He explained the proposal arose after a business sought to host a flower truck outside its business on Valentine's Day and the existing fee structure treated the request as a lane closure, which was more expensive than necessary.**

**Mayor Hughson also asked why a separate \$260 fee was appropriate for alcohol distance variances. Staff explained that although the variance application would now align procedurally with the underlying conditional use permit process, it still involved separate notice and processing work.**

**The motion carried by following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

- 25.** Consider approval of Resolution 2026-77R, supporting the application of Hays County, Comal County, the Texas Department of Transportation, and the Great Springs Project for funding assistance to the Federal Lands Access Program for the Hunter Road Trail from Wonder World Drive towards the City of New Braunfels and along East McCarty Lane from Hunter Road to South Interstate Highway 35; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to support the application on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Mendoza to approve Resolution 2026-77R**

**Council considered a support resolution for a regional trail funding application. Mayor Hughson stated her concern was ensuring that the City was not inadvertently committing itself to future financial participation.**

**Staff responded that no city budget allocation or financial obligation was contemplated by the resolution.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 6 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 1 - Council Member Gonzalez

## **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

- 26.** The San Marcos City Council will convene in executive session pursuant to Section 551.071 (Consultation with Attorney) and Section 551.072 (Real Property) to receive legal advice and deliberate regarding the lease of City-owned real property located at 201 South LBJ Drive.

**Mayor Hughson stated that the discussion on this item had been completed during the 3:00 p.m. meeting.**

## **DIRECTION/ACTION FROM EXECUTIVE SESSION**

- 27.** Consider action, by motion, or provide direction to Staff regarding the Executive Session items under Section 551.071 (Consultation with Attorney) and Section 551.072 (Real Property) to receive legal advice and deliberate regarding the lease of City-owned real property located at 201 South LBJ Drive.

**Mayor Hughson stated that Council had received information and held discussion.**

**No action was taken.**

VI. Question and Answer Session with Press and Public.

**There were no questions from the press or public.**

VII. Adjournment.

**With no further business, Mayor Hughson adjourned the meeting at 11:56 p.m.**

**Elizabeth Trevino, City Clerk**

**Jane Hughson, Mayor**