Zoning Request ZC-22-27

5300 BLK S IH 35 Hampton Business Park HC



Summary

Request:	Zoning change from Futuro	Development (FD) and Ag	ricultural Ranch (AR) to		
nequest.	Zoning change from Future Development (FD) and Agricultural Ranch (AR) to Heavy Commercial (HC)				
Applicant:	Pamela Madere	Property Owner:	Alp Yilmaz		
	Jackson Walker, LLP		San Marcos LLC & CCM		
	100 Congress Avenue,		San Marcos LLC		
	Suite 1100		7700 Kempwood Drive,		
	Austin, Texas		Houston, TX, 77055		
Notification					
Application:	May 9, 2022	Neighborhood	N/A		
		Meeting:			
Published:	June 26, 2022	# of Participants	N/A		
Posted:	June 24, 2022	Personal:	June 24, 2022		
Response:	None as of the date of this report				
Property Description					
Legal Description: 15.6 acres, more or less, out of the W.H Van Horn-Third League, Abst			rd League, Abstract No		
,	464, in Hays County				
Location:	Generally located on the I-35 South Frontage Road, approximately 1,400 ft so				
	of the intersection of I-35 and Posey Road				
Acreage:	15.6 acres	PDD/DA/Other: N/A			
Existing Zoning:	ETJ (FD upon annexation)/)/ Proposed Zoning: Heavy Commercial (Heavy Commercial)			
	Agricultural Ranch				
Existing Use:	Vacant	Proposed Use:	Business Park		
Existing Occupancy:	N/A	Occupancy:	N/A		
Preferred Scenario:	Employment Area	Proposed Designation:	Same		
CONA Neighborhood:	N/A	Sector:	N/A		
Utility Capacity:	Extension Required at	Floodplain: No			
-	Developer's Expense				
Historic Designation:	N/A	My Historic SMTX	No		

Surrounding Area	Zoning	Existing Land Use	Preferred Scenario	
North of Property:	ETJ (Proposed LI)	Vacant/ Business Park	Low Intensity	
South of Property:	Agricultural Ranch	Interstate	Employment Area	
East of Property:	ast of Property: ETJ (Proposed LI)		Employment Area	
West of Property:	ETJ/FD/GC	Vacant/ Residential/Billboard	Employment Area	

Resources Survey

Zoning Request ZC-22-27

5300 BLK S IH 35 Hampton Business Park HC



Staff Recommendation

X Approval as Submitted	Alternate Approval	Denial	
Staff: Julia Cleary	Title: Planner, AICP	Date: July 7, 2022	

Commission Recommendation

<u>X</u>	Approval as Submitted	Approval with Conditions / Alternate	Denial
C	-l ! f		

Speakers in favor or opposed

None (applicant's representatives available for questions)

Recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission Meeting held July 12, 2022.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sambrano, second by Commissioner Costilla to recommend approval of the request.

The vote passed with an 8-0 vote

For: Commissioners Agnew, Case; Costilla; Kelsey; Meeks; Mendoza; Sambrano, and Spell

Against: 0
Absent: Garber

Discussion Topics:

- Intended use Commissioner Agnew asked what the intended use was for the large pink building on the northeastern part of the site shown on the submitted site plan. The applicant's representative responded that they currently intended to develop it as a car dealership. Commissioner Case asked if the development was speculative, and if the zoning could change in the future. The applicant's engineer responded that it was speculative, and they were just in the initial planning stages right now, however they did not plan to change the zoning in the future.
- Intentions for the land shown as "Future Development/ Agricultural Ranch" Commissioner Mendoza asked what the plans were for the land shown as Future Development" on the site plan. The applicant's engineer responded that there were no plans at this time and the intent was to leave it undisturbed. Staff clarified that "FD Future Development" is the zoning district given to properties upon annexation.

Zoning Request

ZC-22-27

5300 BLK S IH 35 Hampton Business Park HC



History

The site is currently within the ETJ in Hays County – a request for annexation is being considered concurrently (AN-22-12). The annexation request covers approximately 72 acres (Hampton Business Park) and includes this application for Heavy Commercial as well as an application for Heavy Industrial (ZC-22-25) adjacent the railway and Light Industrial (ZC-22-26) along I-35. A small section of the land proposed for annexation (along the southwestern boundary) has <u>not</u> been included in any of these zoning change requests in order to leave a 100' setback/ buffer from the adjacent residential parcel in the ETJ. This section will remain as "FD" and will be used for drainage and landscaping (see the attached Land Use Plan submitted by the applicant). Please note that the small 0.3 acre parcel along I-35 zoned "GC" General Commercial will not change as it has already been annexed and zoned into the City.

Additional Analysis

See below.

Comments from Other Departments

<u>Comments from Other Departments</u>		
Police	No Comment	
Fire	No Comment	
Public Services	No Comment	
Engineering	No Comment	

Zoning Request ZC-22-27

5300 BLK S IH 35 Hampton Business Park HC



Evaluation			Criteria for Approval (Sec.2.5.1.4)
Consistent	Inconsistent	Neutral	
<u>x</u>			Whether the proposed zoning map amendment implements the policies of the adopted Comprehensive Plan and preferred scenario map The site is located within an Employment Area in the Comprehensive Plan and per Table 4.1, Light Industrial Districts should be "considered" in this area.
		<u>N/A</u>	Whether the proposed zoning map amendment is consistent with any adopted small area plan or neighborhood character study for the area <i>There are no neighborhood character studies for this area at this time.</i>
		<u>x</u>	Whether the proposed zoning map amendment implements the policies of any applicable plan adopted by City Council
		<u>N/A</u>	Whether the proposed zoning map amendment is consistent with any applicable development agreement in effect There is no development agreement in effect for this site.
<u>X</u>			Whether the uses permitted by the proposed change in zoning district classification and the standards applicable to such uses shall be appropriate in the immediate area of the land to be reclassified
<u>x</u>			Whether the proposed zoning will reinforce the existing or planned character of the area The land is adjacent an existing Heavy Industrial zoning district and the use is consistent with the Employment Area designation in the Comprehensive Plan.
<u>X</u>			Whether the site is appropriate for the development allowed in the proposed district
<u>x</u>			Whether there are substantial reasons why the property cannot be used according to the existing zoning The site is currently in the ETJ and will be zoned "FD" Future Development upon annexation. FD is intended to be a temporary zoning district and does not allow any significant development due to use and impervious cover restrictions.
		<u>x</u>	Whether there is a need for the proposed use at the proposed location The City does not have an adopted needs assessment for commercial or industrial land uses.

Zoning Request

5300 BLK S IH 35 **Hampton Business Park HC** ZC-22-27



Evaluation			Criteria for Approval (Sec.2.5.1.4)
Consistent	Inconsistent	Neutral	
<u>x</u>			Whether the City and other service providers will be able to provide sufficient public facilities and services including schools, roads, recreation facilities, wastewater treatment, water supply and stormwater facilities, public safety, and emergency services, while maintaining sufficient levels of service to existing development A fire station analysis map is included in the packet. The site would take access from Dorado Bluffs Rd and the I-35 Frontage road as part of the wider development – per the map the section of I-35 adjacent the development lies within an 8 minute response time for a Fire Station.
		<u>X</u>	Whether the proposed rezoning will have a significant adverse impact on property in the vicinity of the subject property The surrounding land is predominantly undeveloped or industrial/ heavy commercial in nature. The majority of the site is located adjacent an existing industrial area – the land to the northwest is also zoned Heavy Industrial and contains the H&H Industrial Park – and there is an accompanying Light Industrial zoning request for the land to the north and east (ZC-22-26). There is a residential parcel (R18660) to the west of the site, however the applicant has left a 100' "setback" along the side of the parcel by not including it within the boundaries of the zoning change district (it will be left zoned as "FD" Future Development upon annexation).
		<u>N/A</u>	For requests to a Neighborhood Density District, whether the proposed amendment complies with the compatibility of uses and density in Section 4.1.2.5
		<u>x</u>	The impact the proposed amendment has with regard to the natural environment, including the quality and quantity of water and other natural resources, flooding, and wildlife management
		<u>N/A</u>	Any other factors which shall substantially affect the public health, safety, morals, or general welfare