



# City of San Marcos

630 East Hopkins  
San Marcos, TX 78666

## Meeting Minutes City Council

---

Tuesday, February 17, 2026

6:00 PM

City Council Chambers

---

630 E. Hopkins St.

<http://sanmarcostx.gov/421/City-Council-Videos-Archives> or watch on Grande channel  
16 or Spectrum channel 10.

### I. Call To Order

### II. Roll Call

**Present:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Shane Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Alyssa Garza, Council Member Amanda Rodriguez, Mayor Jane Hughson, Council Member Lorenzo Gonzalez, Council Member Matthew Mendoza and Council Member Josh Paselk

### III. Invocation

### IV. Pledges of Allegiance - United States and Texas

#### **Announcement:**

**City Manager Stephanie Reyes introduced Helen Ramirez as the new Director of Economic and Local Business Development. Ms. Reyes explained that Helen joins the city from Brownsville, where she served as City Manager from 2003 to 2025. She noted that the Office of Economic Development was created last year and made official through the adoption of the Fiscal Year 2025-2026 budget. The department includes Main Street and business retention and expansion programs.**

**Director of Economic & Local Business Development Helen Ramirez stated the need for collaboration with the chamber to ensure downtown growth and support businesses of all sizes. She highlighted building a future-ready workforce while maintaining a sustainable business ecosystem today. She expressed gratitude and looked forward to working closely under the Mayor, City Council, and City Manager's leadership.**

### V. Citizen Comment Period

**Caylee Champagne, Opposed data center; cited water scarcity, enforcement challenges, and ethical concerns about AI and surveillance.**

**Virginia Parker, Opposed data center; stressed severe drought, minimal job**

**benefits, and urged council to vote no.**

**Jimmy Naville LiUNA representative – Supported data center; emphasized union jobs, long term careers, and written commitments to labor standards.**

**Randy Harris, LiUNA representative - Supported data center; shared success stories of union apprenticeships and career growth.**

**Manuel Godoy, LiUNA representative - Supported data center; highlighted local employment, economic benefits, and union protections.**

**Jen Corboy, LiUNA representative - Supported data center; focused on diverse workforce opportunities, apprenticeships, and family supporting careers.**

**Matthew Gonzales, LiUNA representative - Supported data center; spoke about union values, workforce development, and economic growth.**

**Jerry Margoitta, LiUNA representative - Supported data center; stressed local jobs, economic ripple effects, and union training.**

**Frank Zimmer, LiUNA representative - Supported data center; shared personal benefits of union work and urged approval for similar opportunities.**

**Marcos Hernandez, LiUNA representative - Supported data center; described union benefits and career stability for families.**

**Michael Whalen, Applicant; supports the project and noted the detailed restrictive covenant with enforceable limits on water use, noise, emissions, and sustainability measures.**

**Michael Martinez, Opposed data center; argued long term jobs are minimal and mostly remote, compared to other developments.**

**John Mayberry, Applicant; defended project's water saving measures, noise mitigation, and economic benefits without tax abatements.**

**Mario Jimenez, Opposed data center; warned of heat island effect, agricultural harm, and cultural loss.**

**Amy Camp – Opposed data center; cited broken promises in other cities, environmental risks, and AI bubble concerns.**

**Lorena Salinas, Opposed data center; emphasized protecting sacred waters and cultural heritage.**

**Abigail Lindsay, Opposed data center; questioned union job guarantees and expressed skepticism about developer promises.**

**Tomas Diaz de Leon, Opposed data center; framed project as undemocratic and harmful to community resources.**

**Erica Rosa, Spoke on housing authority governance; urged accountability and protection of affordable housing.**

**Carolyn Story, Opposed data center; called for comprehensive social impact assessments before approval.**

**Manar Naser, Opposed data center; highlighted cultural identity tied to water and warned of health risks.**

**Kylie Reid, Opposed data center; stressed authentic community connection and water as a sacred resource.**

**Lu Bexfield, Opposed data center; raised concerns about water depletion, surveillance, and community well being.**

**Elizabeth Emberson, Opposed data center; invoked environmental ethics and generational responsibility.**

**John Roche, Opposed data center; shared personal ties to land and warned against sacrificing resources for short term gains.**

**Amber Lunsford, Opposed data center; cited water crisis projections and economic risks tied to drought.**

**Sean Jones, Opposed data center; questioned transparency, water usage, and responsible development claims.**

**Kara Finlayson, Opposed data center; urged prioritizing nature and family well being over technology.**

**Corinne Loperfido, Opposed data center; warned of global water bankruptcy**

**and urged council to listen to constituents.**

**Saunders Druckker, Opposed data center; debunked myth that approval prevents future centers and criticized job arguments.**

**Logan Concienne, Opposed data center; called for environmental protection and rejected AI driven development.**

**Ana Lucia Carbajal, Opposed data center; warned of irreversible harm, AI bubble risks, and urged creative alternatives.**

**Jennifer Lindsay, Opposed data center; shared emotional testimony about losing family land and way of life.**

**Sam Young, Supported data center; argued water use is less than residential development and touted economic benefits.**

**Lacey Dupre, Opposed data center; urged sustainable vision for San Marcos, questioned lack of contingency plans for dismantling data centers.**

**Chrispy Polanco – Opposed data center; cited 3,000 signature petition, criticized council for ignoring public opposition.**

**Adriana Montoya, Opposed data center; warned against sacrificing water for profit, shared cautionary tale of Rio Grande's decline.**

**Mary Littlefield Devine, Opposed data center; raised concerns about transparency, misleading promises, and non local union workers.**

**Elizabeth Kabaki, Opposed data center; stressed water security and stewardship as a moral responsibility.**

**Catherine Rogers, Opposed data center; urged council to protect San Marcos' uniqueness and avoid short term profit decisions.**

**Torrie Martin, Opposed data center; condemned divisive tactics and emphasized protecting generational land over temporary jobs.**

**Hayat Qurunful, Opposed data center; highlighted drought, water bankruptcy, and false job promises; called for moral leadership.**

**Malik Thomas, Opposed data center; questioned rezoning conservation land and warned of cumulative impact from multiple centers.**

**Amber Elison, Opposed data center; drew parallels to environmental disasters and stressed cultural importance of waterways.**

**Gena Fleming, Opposed data center; invoked sacred connection to water and warned council their vote will define their legacy.**

**Sam Jet, Opposed data center; advocated for preservation over profit and challenged developers to invest in aquifer recharge.**

**Blake Coe, Opposed data center; says data center harms community and river, warns of election consequences.**

**Randall Terrell, Opposed data center; cites historic droughts and current water crisis, no city plan for worsening conditions.**

**Jessica Bunting, Opposed data center; warns water shortages by 2047, data center uses water equal to 915 residents daily.**

**Kaycee Baker, Opposed data center; highlights health risks (pollution, illness) and urges safer projects instead.**

**Malcolm (Rocco) Moses, Opposed data center; questions council integrity, warns first approval sets precedent for more.**

**Lucas Craft, Opposed data center; argues AI industry is financially unstable, compares to dot com bubble.**

**Charles Soechting, Opposed data center; appeals to council's legacy, stresses aquifer fragility and water scarcity.**

**Becky Smith, Opposed data center; appeals to ethics, warns against ignoring residents, urges vote against data centers.**

**Devon Birdsong, Opposed data center; cites uncertainty of impacts, suggests public vote and warns of political fallout.**

**Brandon Oles, Opposed data center; says data center strains power grid, raises utility costs, and urges delay to focus on infrastructure.**

**Lydia Rodriguez, Opposed data center; warns of loss of rural character, wildlife, and green space; cites water and power strain.**

**Maxfield Baker, Opposed data center; questions enforcement of covenants, warns of unknowns and tax revenue risks; urges vote no.**

**Michael Hernandez, Opposed data center; notes overwhelming public opposition, few jobs vs. city requirements, and urges council to end project.**

**Abigail Wetzel, Opposed data center; cites minimal jobs, high water and pollution costs, and industry instability; urges vote no.**

**Trevor Smith, Opposed data center; highlights extreme power and water demands, health risks, and environmental strain; urges rejection.**

**Danielle Gay, Opposed data center; cites stage 4 drought and judge's moratorium; says jobs should not come at cost of water security.**

**Katie Nichols, Opposed data center; appeals to council's duty to serve citizens, not profits; urges vote no.**

**Leah Cuddeback, Opposed data center; stresses council's power to say no, uphold conservation plan, and set precedent for responsible growth.**

**Jessica Moreno, Opposed data center; emphasizes sacred water, short term jobs vs. long term harm, and strong community opposition.**

**Benjamin Aguirre, Opposed data center; as engineer, calls out false technical claims about cooling systems and energy demand; warns of lies.**

**Carrina Salmon, Opposed data center; rejects 'lesser of two evils' approach; urges firm stance against all data centers.**

**Cat Morris, Opposed data center; says lack of info on long term impacts, warns of ecosystem harm and rising temperatures; urges pause.**

**Natalie Bones, Opposed data center; warns of 'water bankruptcy,' limited local benefits, and long term environmental burden; urges vote no.**

**Melanie Babbitt, Opposed data center; appeals to council's conscience and**

**community voice; urges decision based on values, not AI.**

**Annette Dever, Opposed data center; says data centers bring lawsuits, broken promises, raise utility bills, harm environment and wildlife; urges council to vote no.**

**Kate Csillagi, Opposed data center; cites stage 4 drought, high water/electricity use, few jobs vs. huge impact; calls for protecting biodiversity and tourism.**

**Amber Cravill, Opposed data center; rejects ‘inevitability’ argument, warns AI/data centers benefit big tech, harm locals, raise costs; urges dissent vote.**

**Epiphany Hodge, Opposed data center; appeals to council’s responsibility, warns of rising costs, short term jobs, and irreversible environmental harm; urges no vote.**

**Jonah Stonesifer, Opposed data center; stresses river and aquifer as lifeblood, warns against sacrificing long term water security for short term money.**

**Athena Morgan, Opposed data center; warns of catastrophic future impacts, river loss, and environmental collapse; urges community led projects instead.**

**David Abugaber, Opposed data center; as AI expert, says data centers produce ‘AI slop,’ not societal benefit; warns against sacrificing water for low value tech.**

**Sunny Summers, Opposed data center; cites overwhelming public opposition, warns of water scarcity, pollution, rising costs, and false job promises.**

**Manuel Olalde Granados, Opposed data center; cites stage 4 drought, water depletion, and increased electricity demand; urges vote no.**

**Jose Lozano, LiUNA representative - Supports; argues city should approve to retain control and gain tax revenue; says restrictive covenants exceed current standards.**

**Elliot Dean, Opposed data center; compares to Utah’s water crisis worsened by data centers; warns of similar risks in San Marcos.**

**Bobby Levinsky, Opposed data center; cites legal violation of city code (1 year waiting period), massive water use, and urges denial to prevent waste.**

**Zachary Tindle, Opposed data center; debunks claim that approving one data center prevents others; says ERCOT does not limit projects; urges vote no.**

**Brenda Haegner, Opposed data center; appeals to protect environment for future generations; warns of water strain and health risks; urges vote no.**

**Aiden Dela Rosa, Opposed data center; emphasizes river as core of community identity; warns against sacrificing it for development.**

**Aaron Brown, Opposed data center; warns against rushing into ‘shiny’ tech without considering long term environmental and economic impacts; urges big picture thinking.**

**Gavin Pope, Opposed data center; appeals to community values and water conservation; says fixes offered are inadequate; urges vote no.**

**Ben Torres, Opposed data center; emphasizes cultural and historical ties to land and water; vows community will fight to protect resources.**

**Sonya Gray, Opposed data center; questions motives behind a ‘yes’ vote; urges council to prove integrity and protect river.**

**Steve Ply, Opposed data center; cites stage 4 drought, rising energy costs (up to 287%), and lack of permanent jobs; says Guadalupe County and Hays County officials oppose project.**

**Hannah Jagiello, Opposed data center; warns of water crisis, chemical risks in cooling systems, and increased utility bills; stresses protecting river ecosystem.**

**Carondelet Crain Dember, Opposed data center; uses song lyrics to criticize corruption and environmental destruction; urges accountability.**

**Eli Doyle, Opposed data center; appeals to council’s conscience; warns that voting yes sacrifices future generations and survival.**

**Reagan Gray, Opposed data center; emphasizes river as core of community identity; says overwhelming public opposition unites all sides; urges vote no.**

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

**A motion was made by Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza , seconded by Council**

**Member Paselk to approve consent agenda items 1-7.****The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 6 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

**Absent:** 1 - Council Member Gonzalez

1. Consider approval, by motion, of the following meeting minutes:
  - A. January 20, 2026 - Work Session Meeting Minutes
  - B. January 20, 2026 - Regular Meeting Minutes
2. Consider approval of Ordinance 2026-01, on the second of two readings, amending the Official Zoning Map of the City by rezoning approximately 0.93 acres of land, located at 510 North Guadalupe Street, from Public District (P) to Character District - 5 (CD-5), or, subject to the consent of the owner, another less intense zoning district classification; including procedural provisions; and declaring an effective date.
3. Consider approval of Ordinance 2026-03, on the second of two readings, amending the Official Zoning Map of the City in Case No. ZC-25-15 by rezoning approximately 0.294 acres of land, generally located on the western side of Post Road approximately 100 feet southwest of the intersection between Post Road and Uhland Road, from Single Family-6 District (SF-6) to Character District-4 (CD-4), or, subject to consent of the owner, another less intense zoning district classification, including procedural provisions; and declaring an effective date.
4. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-27R, approving a Change in Service to the agreement with Texas Department of Transportation, for the Interstate Highway 35 from River Ridge Parkway to Loop 82 Project, for the purpose of accommodating city utility relocations, in the amount of \$242,950.08; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the Change in Service on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
5. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-28R, approving Change Order No. 2 with Austin Underground, Inc., to the Davis Lane Lift Station and Gravity Main Project, to add a 16 inch waterline bore to the scope of work in the amount of \$203,110.00; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the Change Order on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
6. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-29R, approving a Standard Utility Agreement Supplemental and an Advanced Funding Agreement Amendment with Texas Department of Transportation, to provide reimbursement to the city for increased utility removal and relocation costs, relating to the project along Interstate Highway 35 at State Highway 123, from South of State Highway 80 to North of Ranch to Market Road 12, in the amount of \$346,211.95; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the appropriate documents on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.
7. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-30R, approving an agreement for the provision of services in connection with the proposed owner requested annexation in Case No. AN-25-07 of approximately 11.548 acres of land, located at 1710 State Highway 21, being on the southside of State Highway 21, approximately 900 feet north of the intersection with State Highway 80, in Hays County, Texas; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to

execute said agreement on behalf of the city; setting a date for a public hearing concerning the proposed annexation of said tract of land; and declaring an effective date.

## **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

8. Receive a Staff presentation and hold a public hearing to receive comments for or against Ordinance 2026-04, amending the Preferred Scenario Map of the city's comprehensive plan to change the designation from Conservation/Cluster to Commercial/Employment Low for approximately 199.49 acres of land, generally located on the western side of the 900 Block of Francis Harris Lane, south of the intersection between Grant Harris Road and Francis Harris Lane in Hays County, Texas; including procedural provisions; and declaring an effective date; and consider approval of Ordinance 2026-04 on the first of two readings.

**Terry Floyd, Director of Planning and Development Services, presented the resubmittal of PSA 25-01 for a proposed data center on approximately 200 acres along Francis Harris Lane.**

**Request: Change place type from Conservation Cluster to Commercial Employment Low to allow Light Industrial zoning.**

**History: Previous denial by P&Z (March 2025); Council voted 5 to 2 (in favor were L Gonzales, Mendoza, Scott, Hughson, and Garza; opposed were Rodriguez, S Gonzales) but due to the requirement for a supermajority, the motion failed August 19, 2025. The application was resubmitted October 2025.**

**Environmental: No floodplain or endangered species; water service by Crystal Clear; applicant proposes closed-loop cooling system.**

**Restrictive covenants include: water use limits, LEED certification, impervious cover cap, noise limits, drainage standards.**

**Staff recommended approval, citing compatibility with adjacent heavy industrial power plant.**

**Pursuant to a valid Zoning Protest Petition filed in accordance with applicable law, a super-majority is required for approval. Therefore, the proposed rezoning (or data center use) may only be approved by an affirmative vote of at least six (6) members of the City Council.**

**Mayor Hughson opened the public hearing at 11:12 PM**

**Those who spoke:**

**Lindsey Holmes, opposed the rezoning, citing the San Marcos River as a valuable asset and the growing ecotourism market.**

**Michael Whellan, supported the project, highlighting union jobs, local spending, and restrictive covenants for environmental protections.**

**John Mayberry, applicant, supported the project, spoke regarding the petition**

**Zoning Protest Petition, and warned that rejection would shift tax revenue and control to ETJ developments.**

**Michael Martinez, opposed the project, criticizing council engagement.**

**Abigail Lindsay opposed rezoning, citing water and power strain and advocating for housing instead.**

**Sean Jones urged a ‘no’ vote, arguing restrictive covenants are insufficient and warning of precedent for unchecked development.**

**Saunders Drukker, opposed the project, stating community pressure forced concessions and urging council to fight data centers.**

**Ana Lucia Cordova, opposed the project, emphasizing community unity and protection of natural resources.**

**Mary Littlefield Devine requested postponement due to drought and warned of environmental risks.**

**Chrispy Polanco, opposed the project, citing nearly 4,000 petition signatures and warning of community backlash.**

**Mike Harper, opposed the project, urging strict accountability and warning of infrastructure strain.**

**Torrie Martin, an immediate neighbor, opposed the project and expressed frustration with lack of outreach.**

**Gena Fleming opposed the project, clarifying water concerns tied to energy demand and urging alternative zoning.**

**Matt Bauer, opposed the project, citing minimal permanent jobs and reliance on non local labor.**

**Maxfield Baker, opposed the project, criticizing transparency and warning of recall or legal action.**

**Kristen Belloso, opposed the project, citing AI industry’s aggressive resource use and environmental risks.**

**Jessica Moreno, opposed the project, sharing petition details and urging alignment with the comprehensive plan.**

**Natalie Bones, opposed the project, calling for responsible governance.**

**Bobby Levinsky, opposed the project, advocating for preserving conservation cluster designation.**

**Zachary Tindle, opposed the project, citing off site water use tied to power generation.**

**Aaron Brown, opposed the project, highlighting overwhelming public opposition and erosion of conservation priorities.**

**John David Carson, potential developer nearby, criticized the Highlander proposal as speculative and lacking open space.**

**Sam Jet, opposed the project, he urged council to consider long term consequences and reject the data center.**

**Yimpa Beans, opposed the project, citing Stage 4 drought and rising utility costs. Emphasized prioritizing people over profit.**

**Zane Porterfield, opposed the project, raising unanswered questions about water use, wastewater disposal, and ratepayer impacts. Urged creation of a fiscal sustainability tool before approving projects.**

**Diana Emily Wiese, opposed the project, stressing the river's cultural and economic importance and questioning benefits to San Marcos. Warned of long term environmental harm.**

**Benny Rice, opposed the project, highlighting unprecedented community turnout and unity against data centers. He urged council to listen to residents.**

**Lucas Craft, opposed the project, arguing that only paid supporters favor it. Warned of environmental racism and political consequences for council members who approve.**

**Lauren Romero, opposed the project, citing economic development experience. Warned that industrial light lowers property values and harms community growth. Called AI industry a financial bubble.**

**Lily Kitt, oppose data center**

**Justin James Bridges, opposed the project, criticizing council for ignoring community voices and prioritizing greed. He urged respect for constituents.**

**Jonah Stonesifer, opposed the project, emphasizing the river's ecological importance and warning of drought, flooding, and heat island effects from development.**

**Epiphany Hodge, opposed the project, calling the meeting historic and urging council to reject data centers to protect community and environment.**

**Blade Coe, opposed the project, warning that more data center proposals will follow and urging a 'no' vote.**

**Evan Sykes, opposed the project, citing global water scarcity and poor example for future generations. Criticized council for allowing the issue to return.**

**Diego Molina, opposed the project, urging stewardship of land and warning that technology changes rapidly, making data centers obsolete.**

**Lily Kitt, opposed the project, stating that losing the river would harm families and community life.**

**Ryan Patrick Perkins, opposed the project, referencing the city's preservation plan and its commitment to conservation and sustainable resource management.**

**Hayat Qurunful, opposed the project, warning about diesel generator pollution and long term infrastructure costs. Cited research showing health risks near data centers.**

**Christian Harmon, opposed the project, emphasizing the river's importance to students and warning of electoral consequences for council members who approve.**

**Denise Palacios, opposed the project, citing risks to water, energy, and public health. Shared examples of other states imposing moratoriums and urged San Marcos to study long term impacts.**

**Nadia Douglas, opposed the project, questioning promises of jobs and union benefits. Warned of drought and lack of guaranteed economic returns.**

**Caleb Huddleston, opposed the project, questioning how the city would sustain the business if the AI bubble collapses. Warned against burdening taxpayers.**

**Danielle Kitt, opposed the project, noting projected power use is 2.5 times peak city demand. Urged council to respect the comprehensive plan and vote no.**

**There being no further speakers, Mayor Hughson closed the public hearing at 1:20 AM.**

**MOTION TO POSTPONE: A motion was made by Council Member Gonzalez, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, to postpone Ordinance 2026-04 to March 31st. The motion to postpone failed by the following vote:**

**For:** 3 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Council Member Gonzalez and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 4 - Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson and Council Member Mendoza

**Mayor Hughson expressed appreciation for public engagement on water issues and encouraged contacting state legislators. She clarified that the vote concerns a land use change to light industrial, which would allow a data center, not a direct vote on a specific data center.**

**Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza stated discomfort with approving the project due to insufficient information on data center impacts and emphasized the need to address state preemption limiting local control.**

**Council Member Rodriguez highlighted concerns about water usage by data centers, citing state committee discussions and statistics: Texas currently has 400 data centers, with 1,000 more pending permits. Stressed that cities have the authority to deny projects and called this a “generational responsibility.” Quoted bipartisan agreement that current practices are unsustainable and referenced College Station’s unanimous rejection of a similar proposal.**

**Council Member Mendoza asked about the possibility of the developer seeking de-annexation; City Attorney Aguirre explained the process requires council approval and legal steps.**

**MOTION TO DENY: a motion was made by Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez to deny Ordinance 2026-04.**

**The motion to deny carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 5 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 2 - Council Member Gonzalez and Council Member Mendoza

9. Receive a Staff presentation and hold a public hearing to receive comments for or against Ordinance 2026-05, amending the Official Zoning Map of the City in Case ZC-25-13, by rezoning approximately 199.49 acres of land, generally located on the western side of Francis Harris Lane, south of the intersection between Grant Harris Road and Francis Harris Lane in Hays County, Texas, from Future Development District (FD) and Character District 2.5 (CD-2.5) to Light Industrial District (LI) or, subject to consent of the owner, another less intense zoning district classification, including procedural provisions; and providing an effective date, and consider approval of Ordinance 2026-05 on the first of two readings.

**Item 8 failed there was no action to take on item #9. It can come back for consideration in 6 months.**

**City Attorney Aguirre clarified that item #9 requires no action, as the zoning map amendment cannot proceed without an approved preferred scenario amendment.**

## **NON-CONSENT AGENDA**

10. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-31R, approving the award of a Construction Contract with Texas Roads, LLC, for Linda Drive Improvements Project - Phase 1, to include the replacement of water and wastewater lines and the conversion of overhead electric facilities to underground, in the amount of \$5,964,055.00; authorizing the City Manager, or her designee, to execute the contract on behalf of the city; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Council Member Mendoza, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez, to approve Resolution 2026-31R.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

11. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-32R, authorizing proceeding with Issuance of Certifications of Obligation and further directing the publication of Notice of Intention to issue City of San Marcos, Texas Combination Tax and Revenue Certificates of Obligation for certain projects for improving, constructing, equipping, acquiring, or designing the City's: airport; parks and recreation facilities; streets, alleys, and sidewalks; public safety facilities; stormwater management for flood control and drainage; technology infrastructure and facilities; electric utility system; and the payment of professional services in connection therewith including fiscal and engineering fees and costs of issuing the certificates of obligation related thereto in an amount not to exceed \$15,255,000.00.

**A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Council Member Rodriguez, to approve Resolution 2026-32R.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

12. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-33R, adopting the City Council's Strategic Plan for Fiscal Year 2026-2027; and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Council Member Rodriguez, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, to approve Resolution 2026-33R.**

**The motion carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

13. Consider approval of Resolution 2026-34R, filling a vacancy on the Board of Directors of the Alliance Regional Water Authority (ARWA), and declaring an effective date.

**A motion was made by Mayor Pro Tem Scott, seconded by Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza to approve Resolution 2026-34R for Mayor Hughson to serve on the Alliance Regional Water Authority.**

**The vote carried by the following vote:**

**For:** 7 - Mayor Pro Tem Scott, Deputy Mayor Pro Tem Garza, Council Member Rodriguez, Mayor Hughson, Council Member Gonzalez, Council Member Mendoza and Council Member Paselk

**Against:** 0

14. Discuss and consider appointments to the following Board and Commission, to wit:

- A) Housing Authority Board
- B) Neighborhood Commission

**The following applicants were nominated for consideration to serve on the Housing Authority Board:**

**Erika Rosa - nominated by Council Member Rodriguez**

**Juan M. Arredondo - nominated by Council Member Gonzalez**

**Vince Michel - nominated by Mayor Hughson**

**Following a vote Juan M. Arredondo was approved for appointment to serve on the Housing Authority Board.**

**The following applicant was nominated for consideration to serve on the**

**Neighborhood Commission:**

**Kristy Edison - nominated by Mayor Hughson**

**Following a vote Kristy Edison was approved for appointment to serve on the Neighborhood Commission.**

VI. Question and Answer Session with Press and Public.

**None.**

VII. Adjournment.

**Mayor Hughson adjourned the regular meeting of the City Council on Wednesday, February 18, 2026 at 2:44 a.m.**

**Elizabeth Trevino, City Clerk**

**Jane Hughson, Mayor**